

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	4
Introduction	5
Acknowledgements	5
1. Funding Tables	6
Table 1.1-Funding And Services By Year.....	8
Table 1.2-Urban Program Funding By Source By Year	9
Table 1.3-Distribution of Urban Program Funding By Source By Year	10
Table 1.4-Funding By Source By Program	11
Table 1.5-Functional Cost By Service Type By Program	12
Table 1.6-Encounters By Service Type And By Program	13
2. Urban Program Services By Year	14
Table 2.1-Medical Services Workload By Year	15
Table 2.2-Dental Services Workload By Year	16
Table 2.3-Community Services And Enabling Services Workload By Year.....	17
Table 2.4-Other Services Workload By Year.....	18
Table 2.5-Total Services Workload By Year.....	19
3. Reported Non-Title V Funding Sources	20
Table 3.1-Reported Non-Title V Funding Sources By Source.....	21
Table 3.2-Reported Non-Title V Funding Sources By Decision Point.....	22
4. Encounters by Service Type By Program	23
Table 4.1-Encounters By Service Type By Program	24
5. Average IHS Cost Per Indian Encounter.....	25
Table 5.1-Average IHS Cost Per Indian Encounter.....	26
6. Percent Administrative Cost	27
Table 6.1-Administration As Percent Of Ambulatory Cost.....	28
Table 6.2-Administration As A Percent Of Ambulatory Costs By Year	29
7. Indian Users To IHS Dollars	30
Table 7.1-Ratio of Indian Users To IHS Dollars.....	31

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

8. Staff Productivity Ratio	32
Table 8.1- Staff Productivity Ratio By Program	33
9. Project Utilization	34
Table 9.1-Total, Indian, And Non-Indian Users By Service Type	35
Table 9.2-Percent Of Total, Indian, And Non-Indian Users By Service Type	36
Table 9.3-Total Users By Service Type By Program.....	37
Table 9.4-Indian Users By Service Type By Program	38
Table 9.5-Non-Indian Users By Service Type By Program	39
Table 9.6-Percent Indian Users By Service Type By Program	40
Table 9.7-Percent Non-Indian Users By Service Type By Program	41
10. Service Utilization	42
Table 10.1-Average Number Of Encounters Per User By Service Type By Program.....	43
Table 10.2-Percent Users Utilizing Each Service	44
Table 10.3-Percent Indian Users Utilizing Each Service	45
Table 10.4-Percent Non-Indian Users Utilizing Each Service	46
11. Provider Staffing And Productivity	47
Table 11.1-Full Time Equivalent Staffing By Urban Indian Health Program	48
Table 11.2-Average Number Of Users Per Full Time Equivalent.....	49
Table 11.3-Average Number Of Encounters Per Full Time Equivalent.....	50
12. Cost Efficiency And Analysis.....	51
Table 12.1-Average Cost Per Encounter By Urban Indian Health Program.....	52
Table 12.2-Average Cost Per Service User By Urban Indian Health Program	53
Table 12.3-Percentage Of Functional Cost By Service type By Urban Indian Health Program.....	54

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Concluded)

13. Hospitalization	55
Table 13.1-Hospital Admissions By Type Of Service By Urban Indian Health Program	56
Table 13.2-Hospital Inpatient Encounters By Type Of Service For Each Urban Indian Health Program	57
14. Clinical Services Indicators.....	58
Table 14.1-Sampled Selected Clinical Indicators In Compliance	59
15. Demographic Analysis.....	60
Table 15.1-Age Distribution Of Users	61
Table 15.2-Age Distribution Of Indian Users	62
Table 15.3-Age Distribution Of Indian Male Project Users	63
Table 15.4-Age Distribution Of Indian Female Project Users.....	64
Table 15.5-Percentage Age Distribution Of Users.....	65
Table 15.6-Percentage Age Distribution Of Indian Users.....	66
Table 15.7-Percentage Age Distribution Of Indian Male Users.....	67
Table 15.8-Percentage Age Distribution Of Indian Female Users	68
16. Community Penetration.....	69
Table 16.1-Urban Indian Population In Urban Area Vs. Project Users.....	70
17. Financial Management	71
Table 17.1-Charges, Collections, and Adjustments.....	72
Table 17.2-Adjustments By Type.....	73
Table 17.3-Adjustments As A Percent Of Full Charges	74
Table 17.4-Full Charges By Source	75

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a report of the tables compiled by 35 Urban Indian Health Programs (UIHPs) as part of their UIHP Common Reporting Requirements (UCRR) for the Indian Health Service (IHS). This report summarizes the 1999 UCRR data submitted to the IHS. It also contains summary information going back to 1984.

Not all urban programs were able to provide 1999 UCRR data. When such data were unavailable or incomplete, the most recent UCRR data were used. Complete data were unavailable for 1999 for the following seven (7) programs:

- | | |
|-------------|---------------------|
| 1) Lincoln* | 5) Great Falls** |
| 2) Chicago* | 6) Oklahoma City*** |
| 3) Butte* | 7) Tulsa*** |
| 4) Tucson* | |

There are several reasons complete data was not available. Programs denoted by (*) were only able to provide partial data. Wherever possible the partial data was used. Programs denoted by (**) are being reorganized and unable to provide meaningful data for FY 1999. Programs denoted by (***) are funded as demonstration projects and are subject to different reporting requirements.

Several aspects of the UIHP Statistics data collection for FY 1999 have been changed from previous years. Definitions of data elements and service types were modified for better clarity and consistency. Training and technical assistance for the UIHP Statistics data collection was handled primarily by IHS Area Office Urban Coordinators. Data collection and data processing was facilitated by the use of an electronic data worksheet for data submittal to IHS for statistical processing.

The goal of UIHP Statistics data collection changes is improved reliability, consistency, and validity of data. This year's statistics include several disconnects from prior year reports. These disconnects have resulted from changes in definition of service groups and from the correction of some statistical measurements in prior years. The two key statistical changes are the computation of "total project users" and "total services". Future data collection changes will also facilitate improved data analysis to provide early indicators of UIHP service problems or funding issues.

INTRODUCTION

The fiscal year 1999 Urban Indian Health Program tables are a compilation of Urban Indian Common Reporting Requirements Reports (UCRRs) from the Urban Indian Programs. The UCRR data have been analyzed, displayed, and put into these tables to enable a descriptive review of the 35 Urban Indian Health Programs.

The summary tables contain UCRR comparative data going back to 1984.

This year's statistics include several disconnects from prior year reports. These disconnects have resulted from changes in definition of service groups and from the correction of some statistical measurements in prior years.

The two key statistical changes are the computation of "total project users" and "total services". Previously, total project users were summed from users by service group. Henceforth, total project users are summed from users by age. For instance, a single (30 year old) individual may visit a UIHP for medical service, dental service, and community health service. Previously, this person would be counted as a project user three times; once for medical service, once for dental service, and once for community health service. Now, that person will only be counted as part of the 30 year old age group. This change is particularly important for the Tables in Chapter 9.

Previously, total services was the sum of all encounters and ancillary services, such as prescriptions, lab procedures, and images. Ancillary services was included as part of the total of medical encounters. In FY 1998, for instance, the reported 229,475 medical encounters included 62,826 ancillary services. In FY 1999, the total of 127,500 ancillary services are reported distinctly and are not included in sum of total services. This change is evident in Table 1.1, Table 1.6, Table 2.1, and Table 2.5.

This report is organized by a brief description of each clinic information section followed by corresponding tables.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The UCRR analysis and tables for FY 1999 were completed by Information and Management Technologies, Inc. (I&MT) under contract with the Indian Health Service, Mr. William Dew, Project Officer.

Appreciation is given to the various Urban Indian Health Programs who have responded patiently and effectively to requests to provide their reports. Thanks also to the Indian Health Service Area Coordinators for their assistance in forwarding the data to I&M Technologies, Inc.

1. FUNDING

These tables present the sources of funds for urban Indian programs during FY 1999.

When data were not available, prior period data were used, if possible.

TABLE 1.1 FUNDING AND SERVICES BY YEAR: This table summarizes the IHS and Other funding received by the Urban Indian Health Programs, as well as the total number of services provided, for the period 1984-1999. This table emphasizes the leverage IHS funding has on attracting other sources of funding.

TABLE 1.2 URBAN PROGRAM FUNDING BY SOURCE BY YEAR: This table summarizes the funding by source and by year for the period 1984-1999 for Urban Indian Health Programs. This table presents the amount of funding by IHS, Other Federal, State, County, City, and Other funding sources. "IHS" includes Title V and all other IHS funding. "Other Federal" consists of WIC, Section 330, MCH, and Title X funding. "Other" includes Medicare, Medicaid, patient payments, other 3rd parties, foundation grants, church donations, etc.

TABLE 1.3 DISTRIBUTION OF URBAN PROGRAM FUNDING BY SOURCE BY YEAR: This table summarizes the program funding by source and by year as percentages of the total funding for each Urban Indian Health Program during the period 1984-1999.

TABLE 1.4 FUNDING BY SOURCE BY PROGRAM: This table presents funding by source for the fiscal year of 1999 for each Urban Indian Health Program. The Urban Indian Health Programs are presented on the far left side under their respective IHS Area. The sources of funds are Section 330, MCH, Title X, WIC IHS Other, Other Federal, Medicare, Medicaid, Title XX, Other 3rd Party, Patient Collection, State, County, City, and Other.

TABLE 1.5 FUNCTIONAL COST BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: This table presents the functional cost by service type for fiscal year 1999 for each Urban Indian Health Program. Functional service types include Medical, Laboratory (medical), X-Ray (medical), Pharmacy, Dental, Inpatient, Health Education, Nutrition, Mental Health, Allied Health, Community Services, and Substance Abuse.

TABLE 1.6 ENCOUNTERS BY SERVICE TYPE AND BY PROGRAM: This table represents the number of encounters by type of service provided for each Urban Indian Health Program for fiscal year 1999. An encounter is defined as a face-to-face contact between a user and a provider of health care services who exercises independent judgement in the provision of health services to the individual patient. For a health service to be defined as an encounter, it must be documented.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 1.1 FUNDING AND SERVICES BY YEAR

YEAR	IHS FUNDING	OTHER FUNDING	TOTAL FUNDING	TOTAL SERVICES
1984	\$7,928,531	\$9,589,307	\$17,517,838	487,133
1985	\$9,135,867	\$10,070,207	\$19,206,074	504,799
1986	\$8,575,619	\$10,415,826	\$18,991,445	472,009
1987	\$8,288,670	\$10,481,333	\$18,707,003	442,780
1988	\$7,727,789	\$10,480,564	\$18,208,353	230,139
1989	\$7,515,470	\$10,068,157	\$17,583,627	426,339
1990	\$8,250,231	\$20,836,784	\$29,087,015	620,224
1991	\$9,968,102	\$19,375,747	\$29,343,849	664,131
1992	\$8,360,441	\$18,844,232	\$27,204,673	504,714
1993	\$15,812,951	\$20,088,892	\$35,901,843	784,581
1994	\$14,111,855	\$22,417,824	\$36,529,680	722,615
1995	\$16,450,840	\$21,757,038	\$38,207,878	620,126
1996	\$18,044,992	\$22,548,068	\$40,593,060	668,086
1997	\$18,982,643	\$25,946,987	\$44,929,630	495,963
1998	\$22,705,029	\$26,610,631	\$49,315,660	545,745
1999	\$24,714,234	\$23,629,788	\$48,344,021	423,049

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 1.2 URBAN PROGRAM FUNDING BY SOURCE BY YEAR

YEAR	TOTAL	IHS	OTHER FEDERAL	STATE	COUNTY	CITY	OTHER
1984	\$17,517,838	\$7,928,531	\$4,593,793	\$2,040,698	\$508,967	\$144,671	\$2,301,178
1985	\$19,206,065	\$9,135,867	\$3,921,242	\$2,652,567	\$550,583	\$330,010	\$2,615,796
1986	\$18,996,120	\$8,575,619	\$4,370,565	\$2,328,876	\$679,289	\$132,594	\$2,909,177
1987	\$18,707,003	\$8,288,670	\$4,435,898	\$2,573,768	\$566,205	\$189,743	\$2,652,719
1988	\$18,107,166	\$7,727,789	\$5,544,597	\$2,238,322	\$440,055	\$421,753	\$1,734,650
1989	\$17,583,627	\$7,515,470	\$5,547,662	\$1,474,741	\$889,433	\$396,225	\$1,760,096
1990	\$19,997,661	\$8,250,231	\$1,483,840	\$2,259,405	\$1,523,687	\$427,228	\$6,053,270
1991	\$20,361,021	\$9,968,102	\$1,818,206	\$3,175,507	\$1,498,538	\$1,950,334	\$1,950,334
1992	\$21,830,609	\$15,669,891	\$1,352,075	\$1,382,592	\$1,837,254	\$628,879	\$959,918
1993	\$35,901,843	\$15,812,951	\$6,867,526	\$2,885,111	\$1,777,613	\$458,021	\$8,100,621
1994	\$36,529,680	\$14,111,856	\$7,404,022	\$1,533,238	\$2,239,379	\$275,339	\$10,965,846
1995	\$38,207,696	\$16,450,840	\$6,516,490	\$1,903,069	\$2,212,025	\$269,895	\$10,855,377
1996	\$40,593,060	\$18,044,992	\$6,592,791	\$2,113,139	\$2,167,610	\$368,045	\$11,306,484
1997	\$44,929,630	\$18,982,643	\$7,409,862	\$2,357,329	\$1,291,186	\$963,508	\$13,925,102
1998	\$49,315,660	\$22,705,029	\$6,615,204	\$2,694,717	\$1,851,002	\$471,564	\$14,978,144
1999	\$48,344,021	\$24,714,234	\$4,424,295	\$2,897,012	\$1,729,310	\$681,725	\$13,897,446

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, 1999

TABLE 1.3 DISTRIBUTION OF URBAN PROGRAM FUNDING BY SOURCE BY YEAR

YEAR	IHS	OTHER FEDERAL	STATE	COUNTY	CITY	OTHER	TOTAL
1984	45%	26%	12%	3%	1%	13%	100%
1985	48%	20%	14%	3%	2%	14%	100%
1986	45%	23%	12%	4%	1%	15%	100%
1987	44%	24%	14%	3%	1%	14%	100%
1988	43%	31%	12%	2%	2%	10%	100%
1989	43%	32%	8%	5%	2%	10%	100%
1990	41%	7%	11%	8%	2%	30%	100%
1991	49%	9%	16%	7%	10%	10%	100%
1992	72%	6%	6%	8%	3%	4%	100%
1993	44%	19%	8%	5%	1%	23%	100%
1994	39%	20%	4%	6%	1%	30%	100%
1995	43%	17%	5%	6%	1%	28%	100%
1996	44%	17%	5%	5%	1%	28%	100%
1997	42%	16%	5%	3%	2%	31%	100%
1998	46%	13%	5%	4%	1%	31%	100%
1999	51%	9%	6%	4%	1%	29%	100%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 1.4 FUNDING BY SOURCE BY PROGRAM

	SECTION 330	MCH	TITLE X	WIC	IHS TITLE V	IHS OTHER	OTHER FEDERAL	STATE COUNTY	CITY	OTHER	GRANT & STIPEND INCOME (non-Federal)	TITLE XVII MEDICARE	TITLE XIX MEDICAID	TITLE XX	OTHER THIRD PARTY COLLECTIONS	PATIENT TOTAL	
ABERDEEN AREA																	
LINCOLN	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$487,594	\$178,340	\$0	\$21,000	\$0	\$15,000	\$67,000	\$1,873	\$125,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,719	
PIERRE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$371,666	\$230,202	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$168	\$25,337	\$0	\$73,260	\$22,260	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																	
ALBUQUERQUE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$53,953	\$206,789	\$538,750	\$0	\$372,674	\$0	\$35,136	\$33,113	\$0	\$46,186	\$0	\$875	\$5,035	
DENVER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$249,128	\$210,589	\$0	\$28,667	\$0	\$0	\$8,942	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$497,326	
BEMIDJI AREA																	
CHICAGO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$404,696	\$135,053	\$0	\$33,016	\$0	\$2,396	\$41,065	\$0	\$40,301	\$0	\$27,238	\$5,671	
DETROIT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$532,045	\$251,439	\$0	\$64,913	\$0	\$106,375	\$5,763	\$1,466	\$245	\$0	\$2,862	\$2,414	
GREEN BAY	\$37,509	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$222,409	\$143,666	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$384,049	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$0	
MILWAUKEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$366,488	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$133,122	\$2,612	\$1,212	\$0	\$2,347	\$4,090	
MINNEAPOLIS	\$380,467	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,826,393	\$0	\$0	\$381,796	\$166,533	\$0	\$328,890	\$88,318	\$943,291	\$0	\$135,617	\$66,728	
BILLINGS AREA																	
BILLINGS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$315,873	\$258,804	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,297	\$1,100	\$0	\$4,962	\$0
BUTTE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$261,361	\$207,331	\$0	\$1,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$470,512
GREAT FALLS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$140,112	\$97,059	\$153,264	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$390,435
HELENA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$183,463	\$226,558	\$0	\$5,731	\$18,484	\$0	\$0	\$4,487	\$21,127	\$19,978	\$13,552	\$2,928	\$504,308
MISSOULA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$153,342	\$238,316	\$0	\$21,667	\$12,243	\$0	\$11,142	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$486,710
CALIFORNIA AREA																	
BAKERSFIELD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$232,216	\$186,513	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$565,036
FRESNO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$510,426	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$310,426
LOS ANGELES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,001,321	\$0	\$0	\$23,033	\$97,632	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,121,986
SACRAMENTO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$81,709	\$826,494	\$0	\$194,467	\$0	\$0	\$60,480	\$48,145	\$321,188	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,727,723
SAN DIEGO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$529,667	\$20,299	\$37,532	\$203,730	\$135,126	\$0	\$100,000	\$33,567	\$164,903	\$0	\$39,696	\$36,205	\$1,460,625
SAN FRANCISCO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$202,593	\$562,591	\$233,102	\$211,992	\$331,899	\$622,212	\$472,860	\$81,613	\$12,140	\$1,666,220	\$37,781	\$104,084	\$80,448	\$4,879,535
SAN JOSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$273,244	\$348,348	\$226,006	\$0	\$147,220	\$232,606	\$0	\$100,382	\$44,482	\$603,900	\$0	\$270,674	\$202,023	\$2,458,885
SANTA BARBARA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$383,424	\$279,461	\$139,340	\$9,528	\$913	\$7,448	\$70,212	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,566	\$8,018	\$905,910
NASHVILLE AREA																	
BOSTON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36,981	\$226,432	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$463,413
NEW YORK CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$227,283	\$193,338	\$0	\$123,853	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$644,474
NAVAJO AREA																	
FLAGSTAFF	\$41,514	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$203,826	\$323,627	\$0	\$0	\$10,784	\$3,600	\$3,186	\$12,127	\$0	\$12,291	\$47,840	\$658,795
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																	
DALLAS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$796,166	\$415,373	\$344,024	\$121,002	\$0	\$0	\$87,115	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,788	\$1,778,468
WICHITA	\$834,260	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$413,753	\$174,487	\$0	\$76,901	\$0	\$0	\$1,558,404	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,448,687	\$4,507,492
PHOENIX AREA																	
PHOENIX	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260,589	\$910,692	\$1,028,618	\$147,095	\$495,651	\$15,571	\$0	\$264,730	\$0	\$221,046	\$0	\$3,110	\$3,350,604
RENO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$271,165	\$218,917	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$490,082
SALT LAKE CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$319,788	\$318,945	\$0	\$0	\$11,359	\$0	\$69,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$719,631
PORTLAND AREA																	
PORTLAND	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$476,485	\$126,750	\$267,708	\$27,157	\$10,124	\$0	\$0	\$825,248	\$0	\$21,958	\$0	\$1,755,430	
SEATTLE	\$595,319	\$64,511	\$0	\$55,754	\$2,231,255	\$351,190	\$0	\$197,078	\$376,507	\$31,726	\$384,397	\$99,511	\$0	\$1,477,828	\$100,176	\$65,354	\$6,020,606
TUCSON AREA																	
TUCSON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$283,392	\$244,365	\$0	\$14,209	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$541,986
TOTAL	\$1,889,069	\$64,511	\$0	\$846,133	\$14,617,628	\$10,096,606	\$1,624,582	\$2,897,012	\$1,729,310	\$681,725	\$4,094,558	\$304,252	\$4,919,331	\$1,537,587	\$870,444	\$2,171,274	\$48,344,021

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 1.5 FUNCTIONAL COST BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN	ANCILLARY	HEALTH	ALLIED	COMMUNITY	ENABLING	TOTAL	
	PREGNATAL	SERVICES	DENTAL	EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	HEALTH	SERVICE	SERVICES
ABERDEEN AREA									
LINCOLN	\$557,177	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,760	\$0	\$0	\$31,044	\$0
PIERRE	\$338,141	\$0	\$27,897	\$0	\$0	\$239,870	\$9,608	\$55,374	\$69,214
ALBUQUERQUE AREA									
ALBUQUERQUE	\$101,141	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$324,403	\$94,203	\$320,575	\$0	\$977,129
DENVER	\$51,378	\$0	\$10,021	\$6,602	\$66,736	\$0	\$224,171	\$4,787	\$136,071
BEMIDJI AREA									
CHICAGO	\$192,554	\$0	\$0	\$98,959	\$4,012	\$0	\$220,834	\$0	\$178,800
DETROIT	\$257,242	\$5,289	\$2,774	\$52,717	\$129,356	\$27,957	\$281,815	\$64,592	\$42,303
GREEN BAY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,106	\$0	\$217,537	\$51,303	\$110,593
MILWAUKEE	\$376,108	\$283	\$0	\$0	\$23,287	\$0	\$43,249	\$0	\$0
MINNEAPOLIS	\$1,633,575	\$0	\$447,307	\$875,874	\$405,726	\$0	\$484,061	\$366,740	\$163,687
BILLINGS AREA									
BILLINGS	\$115,494	\$0	\$604	\$0	\$25,629	\$0	\$105,076	\$128,782	\$175,942
BUTTE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,916	\$0	\$227,765	\$0	\$269,900
GREAT FALLS	\$340,288	\$0	\$30,566	\$153	\$0	\$0	\$10,814	\$0	\$55,564
HELENA	\$146,053	\$0	\$63,904	\$0	\$4,233	\$41,165	\$94,744	\$0	\$20,301
MISSOULA	\$12,883	\$0	\$12,243	\$2,716	\$0	\$0	\$201,525	\$6,138	\$162,828
CALIFORNIA AREA									
BAKERSFIELD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FRESNO	\$91,497	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$73,390	\$51,815	\$86,808
LOS ANGELES	\$329,961	\$0	\$3,789	\$229,791	\$49,479	\$50,287	\$686,177	\$18,428	\$727,626
SACRAMENTO	\$456,789	\$0	\$0	\$488,906	\$0	\$0	\$198,697	\$23,872	\$237,593
SAN DIEGO	\$478,847	\$0	\$0	\$280,364	\$0	\$0	\$428,362	\$135,761	\$117,440
SAN FRANCISCO	\$1,627,021	\$208,868	\$134,430	\$1,239,667	\$579,515	\$451,794	\$811,047	\$0	\$203,162
SAN JOSE	\$895,904	\$0	\$0	\$336,011	\$461,557	\$339,726	\$370,324	\$0	\$0
SANTA BARBARA	\$162,371	\$0	\$0	\$161,835	\$45,583	\$57,614	\$114,388	\$155,082	\$141,800
NASHVILLE AREA									
BOSTON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$81,968	\$0	\$0	\$125,145	\$0
NEW YORK CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$256,809	\$116,589
NAVAJO AREA									
FLAGSTAFF	\$360,042	\$0	\$51,417	\$0	\$21,646	\$52,934	\$138,067	\$0	\$0
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA									
DALLAS	\$500,031	\$0	\$97,046	\$178,070	\$61,574	\$65,563	\$242,039	\$35,126	\$73,384
WICHITA	\$2,056,075	\$3,534	\$1,604,098	\$325,179	\$15,296	\$56,057	\$376,555	\$34,670	\$2,912
PHOENIX AREA									
PHOENIX	\$807,717	\$6,240	\$112,476	\$512,244	\$68,000	\$618,146	\$274,940	\$50,487	\$35,566
RENO	\$218,941	\$0	\$0	\$92,593	\$159,382	\$0	\$112,453	\$0	\$9,975
SALT LAKE CITY	\$116,772	\$41,004	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$96,839	\$0	\$112,089	\$0
PORTLAND AREA									
PORTLAND	\$663,442	\$0	\$1,131,634	\$754,909	\$49,406	\$206,537	\$654,389	\$56,582	\$515,471
SEATTLE	\$2,751,513	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,911	\$41,123	\$244,224	\$33,000
TUCSON AREA									
TUCSON	\$73,529	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL									
	\$15,714,487	\$265,218	\$3,730,206	\$5,646,591	\$2,665,667	\$2,225,020	\$7,557,805	\$2,190,429	\$5,039,666
									\$1,835,990
									\$46,871,078

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 1.6 ENCOUNTERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA											
LINCOLN	8,544	35	4,500	-	-	1,017	47	1,323	55	785	2,960
PIERRE	8,784	-	3,548	-	-	-	-	594	258	492	3,026
ALBUQUERQUE AREA											
ALBUQUERQUE	1,212	-	62	-	295	5,539	8,586	2,800	10	984	-
DENVER	593	150	-	-	-	-	-	1,054	88	1,063	3,509
BEMIDJI AREA											
CHICAGO	1,715	-	-	470	135	-	2,929	-	2,878	1,096	9,223
DETROIT	2,207	145	-	498	1,575	410	3,714	1,113	2,169	1,062	12,893
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	72	-	1,464	53	1,433	-	3,042
MILWAUKEE	1,744	141	945	-	249	-	168	-	-	-	2,305
MINNEAPOLIS	13,905	-	13,792	6,554	2,732	-	4,166	-	-	-	27,357
BILLINGS AREA											
BILLINGS	8,482	-	1,782	-	1,187	-	4,376	1,054	9,271	-	24,370
BUTTE	514	-	141	36	-	-	806	27	7,068	-	8,451
GREAT FALLS	4,071	-	-	14	-	-	314	-	-	5,280	9,679
HELENA	2,192	120	-	-	-	47	1,674	38	390	395	4,856
MISSOULA	297	-	336	1	-	-	2,113	46	2,225	-	4,682
CALIFORNIA AREA											
BAKERSFIELD	600	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	300	350
FRESNO	886	-	-	-	693	16	2,339	-	259	2,084	5,568
LOS ANGELES	4,310	-	137	710	-	-	7,765	9	14	3,141	16,658
SACRAMENTO	5,079	-	-	3,610	-	-	1,683	1,050	30	-	11,432
SAN DIEGO	2,159	-	-	1,881	-	-	665	-	992	1,307	7,004
SAN FRANCISCO	8,649	463	-	8,180	3,130	923	4,449	-	3,626	-	29,420
SAN JOSE	6,644	-	-	1,886	973	374	1,984	-	-	-	11,861
SANTA BARBARA	716	-	-	386	1,295	105	370	-	1,907	1,162	5,941
NASHVILLE AREA											
BOSTON	-	-	-	-	-	844	-	-	4,298	687	5,829
NEW YORK CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,815	-	5,799	7,614
NAVAJO AREA											
FLAGSTAFF	5,600	-	-	-	-	549	300	4,017	-	-	10,466
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA											
DALLAS	5,076	-	7,551	1,207	425	342	179	1,139	-	1,283	9,651
WICHITA	18,873	3,402	-	2,072	-	386	2,481	-	-	422	27,636
PHOENIX AREA											
PHOENIX	2,637	859	5,686	3,467	1,057	27,978	3,049	8,930	1,135	639	49,751
RENO	1,148	-	-	-	-	-	327	-	-	577	2,052
SALT LAKE CITY	1,245	123	-	323	1,128	-	531	-	-	-	3,350
PORTLAND AREA											
PORTLAND	5,893	-	915	-	1,605	337	1,030	-	-	-	8,885
SEATTLE	24,003	-	63,414	4,701	309	2,362	4,982	-	1,724	7,993	46,074
TUCSON AREA											
TUCSON	660	-	-	-	295	354	313	3,089	-	448	5,159
TOTAL	148,438	5,438	102,809	36,291	25,125	42,567	65,454	16,959	48,862	33,915	423,049

s

2. URBAN PROGRAM SERVICES BY YEAR

Workload data of types and numbers of services have been collected by IHS on the Urban Indian Health Programs since 1979. Initially these were only occasional estimates undertaken by the programs. The reported services over this time period may seem to decrease, but this is a result of inconsistencies in the way each program defined its services.

Some or all of the UCRR data were missing from the programs listed on page 4. When FY 1999 data were unavailable, FY 1998 data were used.

TABLE 2.1 MEDICAL SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR: This table presents the number of medical services provided by each Urban Indian Health Program during the period 1984-1999.

TABLE 2.2 DENTAL SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR: This table presents the number of dental services provided by each Urban Indian Health Program during the period 1984-1999.

TABLE 2.3 COMMUNITY SERVICES AND ENABLING SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR: This table presents the number of community services and enabling services provided by each Urban Indian Health Program during the period 1984-1999.

TABLE 2.4 OTHER SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR: This table presents the number of allied health services provided by each Urban Indian Health Program during the period 1984-1999. Allied health services includes health education, nutritional services, mental health services, optometry, and alcohol and substance abuse prevention and treatment services.

TABLE 2.5 TOTAL SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR: This table displays the total workload data for each Urban Indian Health Program during the period 1984-1999.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 2.1 MEDICAL SERVICES (INCLUDING OB/GYN/PRENATAL) WORKLOAD BY YEAR

	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	
ABERDEEN AREA																	
LINCOLN	0	194	810	495	0	0	0	3,370	6,855	6,855	6,855	609	1,780	1,780	11,973	8,579	
PIERRE	2,609	11,061	10,766	11,123	0	6,227	7,603	11,723	0	11,069	11,069	10,559	12,526	12,526	8,784		
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																	
ALBUQUERQUE	2,008	1,547	2,572	345	1,748	1,159	1,349	1,311	2,204	3,297	3,297	6,087	1,437	1,503	1,503	1,212	
DENVER	227	1,624	2,665	1,887	2,040	1,972	0	0	0	0	0	76	92	138	124	173	
BEMIDJI AREA																743	
CHICAGO	306	1,474	1,230	1,117	7,482	1,225	1,348	1,579	0	639	551	551	1,257	0	1,459	1,715	
DETROIT	754	727	0	1,126	6,969	1,055	3,199	3,336	3,729	2,920	2,920	2,920	2,354	3,082	1,722	2,352	
GREEN BAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MILWAUKEE	14,702	19,434	20,296	20,840	4,368	18,664	20,700	15,523	19,085	19,980	19,980	20,429	20,429	20,429	20,429	1,885	
MINNEAPOLIS	16,433	17,357	15,370	18,956	5,173	14,043	13,887	16,625	18,560	18,604	18,604	49,591	48,524	50,585	47,464	13,905	
BILLINGS AREA																	
BILLINGS	3,213	2,923	2,865	3,243	17,795	3,756	9,213	8,250	9,203	10,312	14,101	11,586	11,375	9,510	8,482		
BUTTE	0	61	101	46	0	67	123	125	128	128	128	275	346	346	201	514	
GREAT FALLS	6,657	3,578	2,292	1,923	0	3,232	3,455	2,956	2,244	2,110	2,110	4,585	4,585	4,059	2,612	4,071	
HELENA	2,732	2,729	2,405	1,967	11,816	3,382	1,490	2,251	2,621	2,621	2,621	5,811	5,811	6,476	6,215	2,312	
MISSOULA	472	394	409	158	0	211	112	91	133	133	133	358	358	458	399	297	
CALIFORNIA AREA																	
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	600	
FRESNO	3,457	3,579	1,608	1,966	5,120	0	1,173	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	541	886	
LOS ANGELES	14,046	13,092	10,101	6,741	1,497	12,092	9,516	11,024	8,958	11,337	16,414	15,885	909	909	1,986	4,310	
SACRAMENTO	2,414	2,365	4,041	4,078	3,598	7,249	4,365	4,274	5,203	5,203	5,203	5,203	8,259	7,766	8,346	5,079	
SAN DIEGO	3,121	3,024	2,015	1,329	0	1,603	1,621	1,828	1,828	1,828	2,010	1,669	2,010	1,846	1,739	2,159	
SAN FRANCISCO	11,156	9,879	8,617	10,813	0	9,114	10,538	8,030	6,399	18,027	9,196	9,542	9,542	8,287	10,287	9,112	
SAN JOSE	4,423	5,762	4,313	3,994	4,088	4,670	6,012	6,286	0	6,286	6,286	6,097	11,008	7,586	6,886	6,644	
SANTA BARBARA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	165	
NASHVILLE AREA																716	
BOSTON	712	988	950	173	3,982	265	184	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NEW YORK CITY	1,252	791	226	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NAVAJO AREA																	
FLAGSTAFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,304	11,146	5,637	4,397	4,397	4,609	4,609	
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																5,600	
DALLAS	7,282	6,152	3,534	3,298	5,526	7,580	5,790	4,900	4,074	6,535	9,924	9,924	9,924	9,924	9,924	5,076	
WICHITA	2,329	2,969	3,571	3,883	3,821	7,468	11,830	14,583	18,610	24,156	21,970	18,898	18,898	20,533	27,747	22,275	
PHOENIX AREA																	
PHOENIX	3,815	4,220	2,997	4,790	0	5,312	4,364	5,500	9,078	24,565	24,557	20,514	36,429	21,349	16,700	3,496	
RENO	3,643	471	4,007	8,214	0	4,186	4,186	6,711	6,434	3,043	1,367	0	0	163	0	1,148	
SALT LAKE CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	461	1,368	
PORTLAND AREA																	
PORTLAND	5,249	5,564	0	5,564	0	4,067	5,571	3,359	2,814	2,814	2,814	3,917	3,917	3,917	5,893		
SEATTLE	19,991	19,371	20,052	20,052	2,234	18,893	19,009	17,765	15,534	15,534	61,679	61,679	61,679	16,044	19,198	24,003	
TUCSON AREA																	
TUCSON	4,412	2,249	1,513	463	0	0	0	0	33	0	296	296	432	492	660	660	
TOTAL (Closed Programs not listed)	137,415	145,579	129,326	138,564	87,257	137,492	169,775	172,502	153,012	223,555	277,984	271,397	297,629	214,580	229,475	153,876	

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, 1999

TABLE 2.2 DENTAL SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR

	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	
ABERDEEN AREA																	
LINCOLN	0	118	149	194	0	0	0	609	1,426	1,426	169	0	0	0	0	0	
PIERRE	0	34	138	46	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																	
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DENVER	260	345	257	231	0	235	0	0	51	29	38	112	100	83	295		
BEMIDJI AREA																	
CHICAGO	260	345	257	231	0	235	0	1,233	0	0	0	0	350	0	550	470	
DETROIT	95	268	0	702	0	485	1,423	1,403	1,391	889	889	425	396	0	0	498	
GREEN BAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MILWAUKEE	6,179	9,936	9,812	9,603	2,844	6,557	8,369	8,243	11,287	10,562	9,260	9,260	9,260	9,260	9,260	0	
MINNEAPOLIS	7,642	7,037	5,924	7,852	2,891	8,118	9,704	9,068	8,871	9,509	9,646	6,618	6,618	7,491	7,914	6,554	
BILLINGS AREA																	
BILLINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BUTTE	0	25	12	28	0	43	32	46	29	29	15	21	20	37	37	36	
GREAT FALLS	0	8	0	10	0	55	40	228	162	19	19	17	17	37	14	14	
HELENA	37	22	0	0	0	39	39	0	8	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	
MISSOULA	129	0	0	41	0	29	23	7	4	4	4	12	9	3	1	1	
CALIFORNIA AREA																	
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FRESNO	2,421	2,176	526	0	0	0	0	380	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LOS ANGELES	3,733	6,205	5,569	2,894	577	5,130	4,465	3,859	3,497	3,130	2,004	2,337	937	937	811	710	
SACRAMENTO	1,462	3,824	3,578	3,795	1,403	3,1681	3,824	4,024	4,614	4,614	4,614	1,068	7,756	3,553	3,610		
SAN DIEGO	1,988	1,541	869	625	0	977	971	1,257	1,257	1,375	1,375	1,991	1,991	2,079	1,896	1,881	
SAN FRANCISCO	4,847	4,339	4,134	4,069	0	4,290	4,115	5,007	6,027	12,704	7,264	7,742	7,742	7,594	9,272	8,180	
SAN JOSE	962	1,469	1,341	1,392	1,420	1,385	1,446	1,941	0	1,941	1,941	715	3,552	2,308	1,793	1,886	
SANTA BARBARA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	93	380	386	
NASHVILLE AREA																	
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NEW YORK CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NAVAJO AREA																	
FLAGSTAFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																	
DALLAS	3,921	3,046	2,655	2,982	3,335	2,709	2,028	1,714	1,744	2,347	1,331	1,331	1,331	1,331	1,331	1,207	
WICHITA	1,548	2,077	1,601	2,522	3,191	3,275	3,380	3,968	4,911	4,908	2,605	3,618	2,772	2,807	2,072		
PHOENIX AREA																	
PHOENIX	0	0	0	0	12,698	4,013	16,465	17,594	13,548	14,922	14,964	14,032	10,374	3,964	2,635	3,566	
RENO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SALT LAKE CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	173	323	
PORTLAND AREA																	
PORTLAND	0	4,941	5,193	5,510	5,510	2,333	5,576	5,6228	4,769	4,893	6,072	5,543	5,543	5,643	5,382	4,701	
SEATTLE																	
TUCSON AREA																	
TUCSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	(Closed Programs not listed)	46,904	55,837	50,107	61,061	31,071	64,392	70,806	76,028	63,789	88,845	60,748	55,128	47,624	50,455	48,823	36,291

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 2.3 COMMUNITY SERVICES AND ENABLING SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR

	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	
ABERDEEN AREA																	
LINCOLN	0	3,250	4,257	7,751	0	10,120	0	5,562	5,181	3,795	2,718	2,718	2,718	2,718	554	3,745	
PIERRE	277	1,272	6,406	6,146	0	8,033	17,654	12,728	0	1,849	1,849	2,114	2,114	1,970	1,970	3,518	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																	
ALBUQUERQUE	0	982	0	1,044	370	424	436	370	437	495	497	1,196	281	1,875	1,875	984	
DENVER	1,864	2,340	2,779	2,137	1,082	1,631	0	0	0	985	150	249	499	355	218	1,063	
BEMIDJI AREA																	
CHICAGO	950	1,948	2,209	1,780	1,471	3,038	3,206	1,891	0	995	5,614	5,614	5,069	0	6,846	3,974	
Detroit	1,038	0	935	650	757	2,567	2,197	2,124	1,415	1,413	1,413	1,413	2,935	1,473	2,092	3,231	
GREEN BAY	6,192	12,466	5,500	0	0	0	0	3,131	0	7,032	7,032	7,032	7,032	613	1,441	1,441	1,453
MILWAUKEE	4,300	944	0	0	720	5,986	1,236	482	2,815	1,702	1,702	1,702	1,702	1,702	1,702	3	
MINNEAPOLIS	560	7,024	8,860	13,589	5,598	7,040	6,381	9,441	11,778	18,315	12,476	7,220	7,220	9,488	4,881	0	
BILLINGS AREA																	
BILLINGS	2,427	6,175	1,516	1,088	5,352	4,638	11,658	12,350	13,367	13,215	27,638	5,815	8,438	9,481	9,271		
BUTTE	2,087	2,871	3,001	3,000	970	1,031	825	1,873	2,912	2,912	1,398	1,360	4,372	10,526	7,068		
GREAT FALLS	1,113	2,700	2,051	2,731	3,055	2,878	4,603	3,541	3,631	3,631	1,505	1,500	11,952	5,280	5,280		
HELENA	613	4,404	4,744	4,539	5,701	2,712	1,419	2,986	3,850	3,850	1,421	1,421	0	0	0	785	
MISSOULA	2,306	7,200	7,544	2,367	1,296	2,828	2,802	5,011	6,721	6,721	11,412	5,747	5,164	4,184	2,225		
CALIFORNIA AREA																	
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	650	
FRESNO	544	12,122	2,976	2,772	1,185	0	1,702	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,343	
LOS ANGELES	3,043	2,518	2,023	637	967	1,510	1,613	981	779	685	1,047	925	1,308	1,388	3,155		
SACRAMENTO	1,154	2,880	2,627	2,374	1,359	7,128	2,880	3,009	770	770	1,165	1,165	1,165	1,166	3,079	3,079	
SAN DIEGO	2,389	2,902	0	1,522	891	2,723	0	1,064	0	369	0	80	80	80	80	2,299	
SAN FRANCISCO	9,767	8,244	1,841	1,715	0	6,448	5,414	5,205	3,570	1,005	1,358	3,516	1,500	6,896	6,896	3,626	
SAN JOSE	1,883	1,341	1,266	1,351	214	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SANTA BARBARA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,069	
NASHVILLE AREA																	
BOSTON	2,080	3,495	1,610	2,075	1,142	847	485	924	128	515	511	504	530	866	2,160	4,985	
NEW YORK CITY	1,706	1,521	2,542	2,575	362	1,348	4,177	4,401	4,625	4,625	4,625	4,625	6,260	13,325	8,440	5,799	
NAVAJO AREA																	
FLAGSTAFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	641	641	387	387	
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																	
DALLAS	17,520	17,706	2,436	3,202	1,164	5,776	1,934	1,456	2,170	2,055	753	753	753	753	753	1,283	
WICHITA	1,746	1,863	1,513	1,187	892	28	2,260	2,376	2,964	1,775	422	721	721	429	429	422	
PHOENIX AREA																	
PHOENIX	0	16,986	28,537	29,613	2,524	22,919	20,194	25,039	23,349	21,575	22,097	26,723	596	1,594	1,464	1,774	
RENO	1,656	3,965	2,137	2,320	1,454	2,260	3,032	3,840	2,513	1,539	1,539	974	2,131	1,869	577	577	
SALT LAKE CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PORTLAND AREA																	
PORTLAND	2,283	0	4,159	4,599	0	4,599	2,698	6,437	13,389	11,116	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SEATTLE	3,229	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,745	24,741	24,741	3,191	1,374	9,717	
TUCSON AREA																	
TUCSON	1,565	4,601	4,241	4,525	2,652	4,765	5,289	4,945	0	4,515	4,514	4,515	1,400	2,821	448	448	
TOTAL	(Closed Programs not listed)	81,169	141,793	111,754	116,898	49,103	111,421	131,610	138,284	107,308	151,138	135,952	134,828	106,680	80,056	96,387	82,777

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 2.4 OTHER SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR

	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
ABERDEEN AREA																
LINCOLN	0	423	184	270	0	0	7,383	7,029	686	2,313	685	685	1,387	216	2,442	
PIERRE	353	121	38	44	0	198	380	13,359	0	631	464	464	130	216	852	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																
ALBUQUERQUE	8,230	7,886	5,349	5,288	4,582	3,172	3,436	4,841	5,632	5,720	13,291	12,268	10,253	10,253	16,935	
DENVER	330	294	166	54	843	337	0	0	0	1,240	853	978	912	1,385	1,118	1,408
BEMIDJI AREA																
CHICAGO	127	166	321	0	1,540	1,241	4,653	5,204	0	0	0	8,111	0	4,588	3,064	
DETROIT	755	260	0	630	1,473	25	6,927	10,838	15,269	19,246	19,246	14,301	11,017	4,422	6,812	
GREEN BAY	8,174	0	270	270	0	0	0	3,131	0	0	0	0	1,539	2,140	1,589	
MILWAUKEE	11,468	2,258	3,626	12,167	2,385	70,212	18,861	25,707	3,212	6,314	6,314	6,314	6,314	4,17		
MINNEAPOLIS	15,438	17,577	16,840	23,574	2,120	4,933	44,300	108,217	31,224	50,296	9,657	7,075	6,176	6,206	6,898	
BILLINGS AREA																
BILLINGS	0	98	21	0	0	1,347	695	14,084	16,861	13,071	11,580	11,410	3,726	5,418	6,617	
BUTTE	0	20	45	16	658	1,101	704	2,364	3,542	630	1,504	4,113	1,495	2,658	833	
GREAT FALLS	722	12	0	0	0	0	563	8,175	3,728	2,006	2,006	1,583	365	279	314	
HELENA	3,225	6	0	0	0	0	180	90	3,478	4,531	525	214	214	984	1,320	
MISSOULA	476	0	0	0	55	0	0	659	5,664	7,677	956	1,727	4,701	6,296	7,543	
CALIFORNIA AREA																
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FRESNO	12,261	2,064	992	2,186	7,061	0	750	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,673	5,518	
LOS ANGELES	11,663	9,089	9,662	431	628	10,350	11,737	14,083	15,133	19,612	35,329	22,671	440	440	638	
SACRAMENTO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,154	3,023	1,122	1,122	3,477	2,807	9,358	
SAN DIEGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	273	
SAN FRANCISCO	15,744	9,988	6,045	13,610	0	4,016	10,038	24,673	24,455	8,507	24,568	18,824	7,733	26,238	8,502	
SAN JOSE	2,469	1,592	5,592	6,936	2,642	8,495	3,259	1,198	0	1,198	9,040	327	732	882	2,351	
SANTA BARBARA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,564	3,950	3,331	
NASHVILLE AREA																
BOSTON	5,521	778	425	150	670	97	20	1,271	248	316	316	584	451	1,781	1,636	
NEW YORK CITY	1,620	98	0	0	677	0	0	4,401	4,625	0	0	0	1,467	3,886	2,137	
NAVAJO AREA																
FLAGSTAFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	467	2,014	2,014	254	254	229	
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																
DALLAS	14,032	13,839	12,590	13,780	4,240	24,901	26,346	29,059	40,522	44,632	16,714	16,714	16,714	16,714	2,085	
WICHITA	6,771	1,980	1,600	1,045	0	544	1,401	3,686	5,741	15,648	3,206	2,642	2,642	8,756	5,723	
PHOENIX AREA																
PHOENIX	1,639	1,458	1,090	1,332	1,090	0	1,482	30,505	30,175	7,243	7,637	40,366	38,640	39,832	30,221	
RENO	563	0	0	0	0	0	0	181	5,091	4,217	2,797	2,797	1,202	1,147	564	
SALT LAKE CITY	563	0	0	0	0	0	0	181	5,091	4,217	2,797	2,797	1,202	0	327	
PORTLAND AREA																
PORTLAND	10,457	2,097	0	2,097	0	1,118	448	922	1,571	1,571	1,571	1,571	898	898	2,972	
SEATTLE	16,439	17,326	17,888	17,888	6,039	19,858	11,629	24,353	28,686	52,276	9,610	9,610	7,526	9,638	7,653	
TUCSON AREA																
TUCSON	144	1,138	1,470	2,802	3,150	4,911	6,250	9,177	0	4,918	4,918	879	879	273	4,051	
TOTAL	(Closed Programs not listed)	168,870	103,810	108,523	126,485	54,071	158,639	174,635	415,808	281,693	314,860	182,466	188,258	216,173	150,105	4,051

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 2.5 TOTAL SERVICES WORKLOAD BY YEAR

		1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
ABERDEEN AREA																	
LINCOLN	0	3,985	5,400	8,710	0	10,120	0	16,944	15,310	14,148	6,886	5,183	5,183	13,914	14,766		
PIERRE	3,239	12,488	17,348	17,359	0	14,458	25,648	37,810	0	25,082	13,382	13,382	13,382	12,803	14,712	13,154	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																	
ALBUQUERQUE		10,238	10,415	7,921	6,677	6,700	4,755	5,221	6,522	7,836	9,514	20,574	13,986	13,631	13,631	19,131	
DENVER	2,681	4,603	5,867	4,289	3,965	4,175	0	0	0	2,278	1,108	824	1,661	1,964	1,592	3,509	
BEMIDJI AREA																	
CHICAGO	1,643	3,933	4,017	3,128	10,493	5,739	9,207	9,907	0	1,532	6,165	14,787	0	13,443	9,223		
DETROIT	2,642	1,255	0	3,393	9,092	2,322	14,116	17,774	20,389	24,468	24,468	20,015	15,968	8,236	12,893		
GREEN BAY	14,366	12,466	5,770	5,770	0	0	2,530	6,262	0	7,032	7,032	7,032	2,152	3,581	3,042		
MILWAUKEE	36,649	32,572	33,734	42,610	9,597	96,153	53,926	50,709	33,584	35,671	37,705	37,705	37,705	37,705	2,305		
MINNEAPOLIS	40,073	48,995	46,994	63,971	15,782	34,134	74,272	143,351	58,655	96,731	81,370	70,379	69,437	73,740	66,485	27,357	
BILLINGS AREA																	
BILLINGS	5,640	9,196	4,402	4,331	23,147	9,741	21,566	34,684	26,064	36,593	53,319	31,539	28,811	23,539	24,409	24,370	
BUTTE	2,087	2,977	3,159	3,090	1,628	2,242	1,684	4,408	3,699	3,699	3,192	5,840	6,088	13,449	8,451		
GREAT FALLS	8,492	6,298	4,343	4,664	3,055	6,165	8,661	14,900	6,134	5,635	8,430	17,639	16,413	8,185	9,679		
HELENA	6,607	7,161	7,149	6,506	17,517	6,313	3,038	8,715	7,160	7,004	7,446	7,446	7,460	7,535	4,866		
MISSOULA	3,383	7,594	7,953	2,621	1,296	3,068	3,596	10,773	7,814	7,814	13,509	10,915	11,862	12,205	4,682		
CALIFORNIA AREA																	
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FRESNO	18,683	19,941	6,102	6,924	13,366	0	4,005	0	0	0	4,005	4,005	4,005	4,005	4,455	6,460	5,568
LOS ANGELES	32,485	30,904	27,355	10,703	3,669	29,082	27,331	29,947	27,588	34,767	54,794	41,818	3,574	4,803	16,658		
SACRAMENTO	5,030	11,069	10,246	10,247	6,360	18,058	11,069	20,461	12,840	11,709	11,709	11,709	13,969	19,495	24,336	11,432	
SAN DIEGO	7,498	7,467	2,884	3,476	891	5,303	2,592	0	4,149	3,413	4,001	4,001	4,005	3,988	7,004		
SAN FRANCISCO	41,514	32,450	20,637	30,207	0	23,868	30,105	42,915	36,381	40,238	42,386	39,624	25,114	52,673	29,420		
SAN JOSE	9,737	10,164	12,512	13,673	8,364	14,550	10,717	9,425	0	9,425	9,425	7,139	15,292	10,776	11,000	11,861	
SANTA BARBARA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,600	4,085	5,941	
NASHVILLE AREA																	
BOSTON	8,313	5,261	2,985	2,398	5,794	1,333	689	2,195	248	827	827	1,088	981	2,647	3,796	5,829	
NEW YORK CITY	4,578	2,410	2,768	2,575	1,039	1,348	4,177	8,802	4,625	4,625	4,625	4,625	7,727	17,211	10,577	7,614	
NAVAJO AREA																	
FLAGSTAFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,353	11,616	7,651	7,651	5,292	5,225	5,225	10,466
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																	
DALLAS	42,755	40,743	21,215	23,262	14,265	40,966	36,097	37,201	46,340	55,567	28,722	28,722	28,722	28,722	28,722	9,651	
WICHITA	12,394	8,889	8,285	8,637	7,904	11,315	18,871	24,613	29,262	46,490	28,203	25,879	25,879	32,490	36,706	27,636	
PHOENIX AREA																	
PHOENIX	5,454	22,664	32,624	48,433	7,627	44,696	43,634	74,592	54,245	68,345	68,323	71,254	79,629	65,410	51,951	49,751	
RENO	5,862	4,436	6,144	10,534	1,454	6,446	9,924	15,365	7,260	5,703	5,703	2,176	2,176	3,441	2,433	2,052	
SALT LAKE CITY	5,862	4,436	6,144	10,534	1,454	6,446	9,924	15,365	7,260	5,703	5,703	2,176	2,176	0	17,632	3,350	
PORTLAND AREA																	
PORTLAND	17,989	7,661	0	7,661	0	5,185	6,019	4,281	4,385	4,385	101,573	101,573	101,573	32,404	35,562	46,074	
SEATTLE	44,600	46,049	48,049	48,049	13,304	50,764	49,655	58,003	49,113	97,954	101,573	101,573	101,573	101,573	101,573		
TUCSON AREA																	
TUCSON	6,121	7,988	7,224	7,790	5,802	9,676	11,539	14,184	0	9,728	9,728	2,711	3,586	5,159	5,159	5,159	
TOTAL	(Closed Programs not listed)	434,536	447,504	398,226	445,626	229,293	481,624	540,901	769,409	504,714	722,615	687,601	688,086	495,963	545,745	423,049	

3. REPORTED NON-TITLE V FUNDING SOURCES

TABLE 3.1 REPORTED NON-TITLE V FUNDING BY SOURCE: This table presents the reported program funding for FY 1999, excluding IHS Title V funding. Funding sources are grouped as Federal, State, County, City, and Other.

"Federal" includes Section 330, Title X, WIC, Medicare, IHS Other and Other Federal.

"State" includes MCH, Medicaid, Title XX and State funding.

"Other" includes Other 3rd Parties, Patient Fees and Other.

TABLE 3.2 REPORTED NON-TITLE V FUNDING BY DECISION POINT: Presents the reported program funding for FY 1999, excluding IHS Title V funds. However, these receipts are grouped by source of funding decision point.

"Federal" includes Section 330, IHS Other and Other Federal.

"State" includes MCH, Title XX, WIC and State funding.

"Other" includes Medicaid, Other 3rd Parties, Patient Fees and Other.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 3.1 REPORTED NON-TITLE V FUNDING BY SOURCE

	FEDERAL	STATE	OTHER	COUNTY	CITY	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA						
LINCOLN	\$44,713	\$146,000	\$75,719	\$0	\$15,000	\$281,432
PIERRE	\$168	\$25,837	\$95,520	\$10,000	\$0	\$131,525
ALBUQUERQUE AREA						
ALBUQUERQUE	\$592,703	\$418,859	\$39,023	\$0	\$35,136	\$1,085,722
DENVER	\$0	\$28,667	\$8,942	\$0	\$0	\$37,609
BEMIDJI AREA						
CHICAGO	\$0	\$73,317	\$73,974	\$0	\$2,396	\$149,687
DETROIT	\$1,466	\$65,659	\$11,039	\$0	\$106,375	\$184,538
GREEN BAY	\$37,509	\$0	\$385,549	\$0	\$0	\$423,058
MILWAUKEE	\$2,612	\$1,212	\$139,559	\$0	\$0	\$143,383
MINNEAPOLIS	\$478,785	\$1,325,087	\$531,235	\$166,533	\$0	\$2,501,640
BILLINGS AREA						
BILLINGS	\$4,297	\$1,100	\$4,962	\$0	\$0	\$10,359
BUTTE	\$0	\$1,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,820
GREAT FALLS	\$250,323	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,323
HELENA	\$76,798	\$48,836	\$16,480	\$18,484	\$0	\$160,598
MISSOULA	\$42,225	\$21,667	\$11,142	\$12,243	\$0	\$87,277
CALIFORNIA AREA						
BAKERSFIELD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FRESNO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LOS ANGELES	\$0	\$23,033	\$0	\$97,632	\$0	\$120,665
SACRAMENTO	\$714,217	\$515,655	\$255,720	\$0	\$0	\$1,485,592
SAN DIEGO	\$86,152	\$368,533	\$175,901	\$135,126	\$0	\$765,712
SAN FRANSICO	\$426,725	\$1,935,900	\$566,145	\$622,212	\$472,860	\$4,023,842
SAN JOSE	\$354,308	\$751,120	\$573,079	\$252,606	\$0	\$1,931,113
SANTA BARBARA	\$139,340	\$9,528	\$85,796	\$913	\$7,448	\$243,025
NASHVILLE AREA						
BOSTON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW YORK CITY	\$0	\$123,853	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$123,853
NAVAJO AREA						
FLAGSTAFF	\$368,327	\$12,127	\$63,731	\$0	\$10,784	\$454,969
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA						
DALLAS	\$344,024	\$121,002	\$101,903	\$0	\$0	\$566,929
WICHITA	\$834,260	\$76,901	\$3,008,091	\$0	\$0	\$3,919,252
PHOENIX AREA						
PHOENIX	\$1,436,302	\$716,697	\$271,342	\$15,571	\$0	\$2,439,912
RENO	\$38,903	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,903
SALT LAKE CITY	\$318,945	\$0	\$69,539	\$11,359	\$0	\$399,843
PORTLAND AREA						
PORTLAND	\$322,925	\$852,405	\$21,958	\$10,124	\$0	\$1,207,412
SEATTLE	\$984,755	\$1,739,417	\$549,927	\$376,507	\$31,726	\$3,682,332
TUCSON AREA						
TUCSON	\$244,365	\$14,209	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$258,574
TOTAL	\$8,145,147	\$9,418,441	\$7,136,276	\$1,729,310	\$681,725	\$27,110,899

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 3.2 REPORTED NON-TITLE V FUNDING BY DECISION POINT

	FEDERAL	STATE	OTHER	COUNTY	CITY	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA						
LINCOLN	\$44,713	\$21,000	\$200,719	\$0	\$15,000	\$281,432
PIERRE	\$168	\$0	\$121,357	\$10,000	\$0	\$131,525
ALBUQUERQUE AREA						
ALBUQUERQUE	\$538,750	\$426,627	\$85,209	\$0	\$35,136	\$1,085,722
DENVER	\$0	\$28,667	\$8,942	\$0	\$0	\$37,609
BEMIDJI AREA						
CHICAGO	\$0	\$33,016	\$114,275	\$0	\$2,396	\$149,687
DETROIT	\$1,466	\$64,913	\$11,784	\$0	\$106,375	\$184,538
GREEN BAY	\$37,509	\$0	\$385,549	\$0	\$0	\$423,058
MILWAUKEE	\$2,612	\$0	\$140,771	\$0	\$0	\$143,383
MINNEAPOLIS	\$478,785	\$381,796	\$1,474,526	\$166,533	\$0	\$2,501,640
BILLINGS AREA						
BILLINGS	\$4,297	\$0	\$6,062	\$0	\$0	\$10,359
BUTTE	\$0	\$1,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,820
GREAT FALLS	\$250,323	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,323
HELENA	\$76,798	\$27,709	\$37,607	\$18,484	\$0	\$160,598
MISSOULA	\$42,225	\$21,667	\$11,142	\$12,243	\$0	\$87,277
CALIFORNIA AREA						
BAKERSFIELD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FRESNO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LOS ANGELES	\$0	\$23,033	\$0	\$97,632	\$0	\$120,665
SACRAMENTO	\$714,217	\$194,467	\$576,908	\$0	\$0	\$1,485,592
SAN DIEGO	\$86,152	\$203,730	\$340,704	\$135,126	\$0	\$765,712
SAN FRANSICO	\$224,132	\$572,273	\$2,132,365	\$622,212	\$472,860	\$4,023,842
SAN JOSE	\$81,064	\$420,464	\$1,176,979	\$252,606	\$0	\$1,931,113
SANTA BARBARA	\$139,340	\$9,528	\$85,796	\$913	\$7,448	\$243,025
NASHVILLE AREA						
BOSTON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW YORK CITY	\$0	\$123,853	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$123,853
NAVAJO AREA						
FLAGSTAFF	\$368,327	\$0	\$75,858	\$0	\$10,784	\$454,969
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA						
DALLAS	\$344,024	\$121,002	\$101,903	\$0	\$0	\$566,929
WICHITA	\$834,260	\$76,901	\$3,008,091	\$0	\$0	\$3,919,252
PHOENIX AREA						
PHOENIX	\$1,175,713	\$756,240	\$492,388	\$15,571	\$0	\$2,439,912
RENO	\$38,903	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,903
SALT LAKE CITY	\$318,945	\$0	\$69,539	\$11,359	\$0	\$399,843
PORTLAND AREA						
PORTLAND	\$322,925	\$27,157	\$847,206	\$10,124	\$0	\$1,207,412
SEATTLE	\$929,001	\$1,795,171	\$549,927	\$376,507	\$31,726	\$3,682,332
TUCSON AREA						
TUCSON	\$244,365	\$14,209	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$258,574
TOTAL	\$7,299,014	\$5,345,243	\$12,055,607	\$1,729,310	\$681,725	\$27,110,899

4. ENCOUNTERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

TABLE 4.1 ENCOUNTERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: Table 4.1 displays the number of encounters reported for medical & ob/gyn/prenatal, dental, community & enabling services and other services. Other services includes health education, mental health, nutritionist service, and alcohol and substance abuse prevention and treatment programs.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 4.1 ENCOUNTERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL & OB/GYN/PRNTL	DENTAL	COMMUNITY & ENABLING	OTHER SERVICES	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA					
LINCOLN	8,579	0	3,745	2,442	14,766
PIERRE	8,784	0	3,518	852	13,154
ALBUQUERQUE AREA					
ALBUQUERQUE	1,212	0	984	16,935	19,131
DENVER	743	0	1,063	1,408	3,509
BEMIDJI AREA					
CHICAGO	1,715	0	3,974	3,064	9,223
DETROIT	2,352	0	3,231	6,812	12,893
GREEN BAY	0	0	1,453	1,589	3,042
MILWAUKEE	1,885	0	3	417	2,305
MINNEAPOLIS	13,905	0	0	6,898	27,357
BILLINGS AREA					
BILLINGS	8,482	0	9,271	6,617	24,370
BUTTE	514	0	7,068	833	8,451
GREAT FALLS	4,071	0	5,280	314	9,679
HELENA	2,312	0	785	1,759	4,856
MISSOULA	297	0	2,225	2,159	4,682
CALIFORNIA AREA					
BAKERSFIELD	600	0	650	50	1,300
FRESNO	886	0	2,343	2,339	5,568
LOS ANGELES	4,310	0	3,155	8,483	16,658
SACRAMENTO	5,079	0	30	2,713	11,432
SAN DIEGO	2,159	0	2,299	665	7,004
SAN FRANSICO	9,112	0	3,626	8,502	29,420
SAN JOSE	6,644	0	0	3,331	11,861
SANTA BARBARA	716	0	3,069	1,770	5,941
NASHVILLE AREA					
BOSTON	0	0	4,985	844	5,829
NEW YORK CITY	0	0	5,799	1,815	7,614
NAVAJO AREA					
FLAGSTAFF	5,600	0	0	4,866	10,466
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA					
DALLAS	5,076	0	1,283	2,085	9,651
WICHITA	22,275	0	422	2,867	27,636
PHOENIX AREA					
PHOENIX	3,496	0	1,774	41,014	49,751
RENO	1,148	0	577	327	2,052
SALT LAKE CITY	1,368	0	0	1,659	3,350
PORTLAND AREA					
PORTLAND	5,893	0	0	2,972	8,865
SEATTLE	24,003	0	9,717	7,653	46,074
TUCSON AREA					
TUCSON	660	0	448	4,051	5,159
TOTAL	153,876	0	82,777	150,105	423,049

5. AVERAGE IHS COST PER INDIAN ENCOUNTER

The number of Indian encounters was estimated as the number of encounters for each service type multiplied by the percent Indian users for that service type.

TABLE 5.1 AVERAGE IHS COST PER INDIAN ENCOUNTER: This table presents the average IHS cost per Indian encounter for FY 1999. The IHS cost per encounter is calculated by summing the estimated Indian encounters for each service type and then dividing the total estimated Indian encounters by the IHS funding received for the year. The estimated IHS cost per encounter will vary among Urban Indian Health Programs due to the types of services offered. On Urban Indian Health Programs providing predominately medical and dental services will have costs per encounter higher than one providing predominately outreach and referral services.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 5.1 AVERAGE IHS COST PER INDIAN ENCOUNTER

	TOTAL ENCOUNTERS	ENCOUNTERS % INDIAN	IHS FUNDING	COST PER INDIAN ENCOUNTER
ABERDEEN AREA				
LINCOLN	14,766	34.7%	\$665,934	\$15.67
PIERRE	13,154	88.4%	\$601,868	\$40.46
ALBUQUERQUE AREA				
ALBUQUERQUE	19,131	81.1%	\$745,539	\$31.62
DENVER	3,509	97.5%	\$459,717	\$127.75
BEMIDJI AREA				
CHICAGO	9,223	98.4%	\$539,749	\$57.58
DETROIT	12,893	85.1%	\$783,484	\$51.71
GREEN BAY	3,042	62.5%	\$366,065	\$75.21
MILWAUKEE	2,305	96.3%	\$366,488	\$153.14
MINNEAPOLIS	27,357	70.3%	\$1,826,393	\$46.90
BILLINGS AREA				
BILLINGS	24,370	100.0%	\$574,677	\$23.58
BUTTE	8,451	96.2%	\$468,692	\$53.36
GREAT FALLS	9,679	100.0%	\$237,171	\$24.50
HELENA	4,856	81.0%	\$416,021	\$69.39
MISSOULA	4,682	100.0%	\$441,658	\$94.33
CALIFORNIA AREA				
BAKERSFIELD	1,300	100.0%	\$398,729	\$306.71
FRESNO	5,568	88.5%	\$310,426	\$49.35
LOS ANGELES	16,658	100.0%	\$2,001,321	\$120.14
SACRAMENTO	11,432	81.0%	\$908,203	\$64.36
SAN DIEGO	7,004	83.2%	\$739,966	\$87.87
SAN FRANSICO	29,420	38.2%	\$855,693	\$11.13
SAN JOSE	11,861	25.0%	\$574,354	\$12.11
SANTA BARBARA	5,941	95.9%	\$662,885	\$106.98
NASHVILLE AREA				
BOSTON	5,829	100.0%	\$463,413	\$79.50
NEW YORK CITY	7,614	96.0%	\$520,621	\$65.61
NAVAJO AREA				
FLAGSTAFF	10,466	99.9%	\$203,826	\$19.45
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA				
DALLAS	9,651	95.6%	\$1,211,539	\$120.00
WICHITA	27,636	9.4%	\$588,240	\$2.01
PHOENIX AREA				
PHOENIX	49,751	86.2%	\$1,939,310	\$33.60
RENO	2,052	94.3%	\$490,082	\$225.33
SALT LAKE CITY	3,350	58.0%	\$638,733	\$110.61
PORTLAND AREA				
PORTLAND	8,865	100.0%	\$603,235	\$68.05
SEATTLE	46,074	61.9%	\$2,582,445	\$34.69
TUCSON AREA				
TUCSON	5,159	100.0%	\$527,757	\$102.30
TOTAL	423,049	68.3%	\$24,714,234	\$39.91

6. PERCENT ADMINISTRATIVE COST

This analysis is used to determine what portion of the costs of operating an urban Indian health center are due to administration as opposed to direct health care expenses. The standard used by IHS is that the percentage be around 20% to 30%. Generally, established Urban Indian Health Centers have a lower percentage, usually around the 30% mark, while the newer Urban Indian Health Programs have a higher administrative cost percentage. This percentage is calculated by dividing the total administrative cost by the total allocated cost for that year. The following proportion is then multiplied by 100 to compute a percentage.

TABLE 6.1 ADMINISTRATIVE COST AS A PERCENT OF AMBULATORY COST: This table presents the grouped frequency distribution of the administrative cost as a percent of the total ambulatory health care costs for FY 1999. This analysis is useful to determine what portion of the total cost for operating an Urban Indian Health Program is attributed to administration. Generally, the ideal percentage should fall between twenty percent (20%) to thirty percent (30%).

TABLE 6.2 ADMINISTRATION AS A PERCENT OF AMBULATORY COST BY YEAR: Table 6.2 presents the administrative costs as a percent of the total ambulatory health care costs for FY 1984 through FY 1999 for each Urban Indian Health Program.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 6.1 ADMINISTRATION AS PERCENT OF AMBULATORY COSTS

	ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS	% ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS
ABERDEEN AREA		
LINCOLN	Not reported	n/a
PIERRE	\$231,894	31%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA		
ALBUQUERQUE	\$285,623	29%
DENVER	Not reported	n/a
BEMIDJI AREA		
CHICAGO	\$120,324	16%
DETROIT	\$180,209	20%
GREEN BAY	\$112,512	19%
MILWAUKEE	\$162,189	36%
MINNEAPOLIS	\$1,233,556	26%
BILLINGS AREA		
BILLINGS	\$117,898	21%
BUTTE	\$242,293	57%
GREAT FALLS	\$110,000	28%
HELENA	\$84,937	24%
MISSOULA	\$121,760	31%
CALIFORNIA AREA		
BAKERSFIELD	Not reported	n/a
FRESNO	\$187,254	48%
LOS ANGELES	\$235,704	11%
SACRAMENTO	\$476,931	29%
SAN DIEGO	\$368,082	25%
SAN FRANSICO	\$1,254,365	24%
SAN JOSE	Not reported	n/a
SANTA BARBARA	\$481,516	56%
NASHVILLE AREA		
BOSTON	\$132,854	29%
NEW YORK CITY	\$272,451	54%
NAVAJO AREA		
FLAGSTAFF	\$245,123	39%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA		
DALLAS	\$531,636	30%
WICHITA	\$920,004	20%
PHOENIX AREA		
PHOENIX	\$826,474	25%
RENO	\$248,778	51%
SALT LAKE CITY	\$134,905	22%
PORTLAND AREA		
PORTLAND	\$279,376	24%
SEATTLE	\$1,350,363	22%
TUCSON AREA		
TUCSON	\$142,740	31%
TOTAL	\$11,091,750	24%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 6.2 ADMINISTRATION AS A PERCENT OF AMBULATORY COSTS BY YEAR

	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
ABERDEEN AREA																
LINCOLN	0%	0%	32%	12%	0%	19%	0%	0%	16%	0%	49%	111%	111%	n/a	n/a	n/a
PIERRE	21%	0%	28%	40%	0%	17%	0%	18%	No report	No report	No report	n/a	45%	45%	31%	31%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																
ALBUQUERQUE	54%	45%	31%	38%	33%	46%	23%	0%	0%	No report	11%	11%	n/a	51%	51%	29%
DENVER	37%	39%	37%	39%	0%	0%	0%	0%	No report	25%	25%	15%	n/a	36%	n/a	n/a
BEMIDJI AREA																
CHICAGO	46%	40%	38%	34%	0%	24%	26%	0%	No report	0%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	14%	16%
DETROIT	31%	0%	0%	34%	100%	32%	25%	20%	17%	21%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	32%	20%
GREEN BAY	38%	0%	22%	44%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	n/a	19%	23%	19%
MILWAUKEE	19%	30%	15%	16%	19%	18%	15%	13%	18%	27%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	36%
MINNEAPOLIS	16%	20%	21%	21%	0%	20%	14%	17%	16%	17%	19%	27%	27%	21%	24%	26%
BILLINGS AREA																
BILLINGS	43%	23%	28%	22%	24%	18%	22%	17%	20%	21%	25%	26%	26%	26%	25%	21%
BUTTE	68%	29%	24%	32%	37%	42%	41%	45%	27%	27%	29%	23%	23%	n/a	48%	57%
GREAT FALLS	22%	41%	25%	27%	24%	28%	0%	20%	No report	15%	15%	12%	12%	9%	28%	28%
HELENA	78%	18%	17%	16%	7%	13%	18%	0%	No report	0%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	20%	24%
MISSOULA	64%	64%	31%	45%	0%	22%	37%	30%	29%	0%	0%	27%	26%	23%	28%	31%
CALIFORNIA AREA																
BAKERSFIELD	17%	32%	14%	0%	0%	0%	16%	0%	No report	0%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
FRESNO	17%	32%	14%	0%	0%	0%	16%	0%	No report	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	45%	48%
LOS ANGELES	9%	18%	22%	28%	24%	21%	24%	24%	28%	14%	19%	0%	n/a	n/a	25%	11%
SACRAMENTO	38%	0%	24%	9%	18%	26%	0%	22%	13%	13%	13%	29%	n/a	n/a	31%	29%
SAN DIEGO	20%	0%	44%	46%	0%	0%	25%	59%	No report	0%	32%	32%	32%	16%	27%	25%
SAN FRANCISCO	10%	0%	34%	22%	0%	29%	28%	26%	26%	0%	26%	0%	n/a	n/a	41%	24%
SAN JOSE	33%	32%	37%	28%	33%	31%	30%	19%	No report	0%	0%	22%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
SANTA BARBARA	No report	28%	67%	51%	56%											
NASHVILLE AREA																
BOSTON	39%	51%	50%	45%	0%	18%	49%	41%	No report	38%	38%	57%	51%	33%	32%	29%
NEW YORK CITY	4%	68%	41%	33%	27%	26%	20%	17%	No report	0%	0%	0%	37%	41%	52%	54%
NAVAJO AREA																
FLAGSTAFF	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37%	No report	42%	42%	21%	21%	40%	39%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																
DALLAS	21%	13%	26%	25%	28%	35%	28%	27%	0%	24%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%
WICHITA	39%	32%	28%	35%	31%	26%	24%	25%	20%	22%	24%	24%	26%	13%	43%	20%
PHOENIX AREA																
PHOENIX	24%	23%	26%	23%	25%	20%	22%	14%	21%	25%	26%	33%	31%	23%	23%	25%
RENO	30%	34%	45%	55%	40%	40%	41%	37%	34%	20%	20%	50%	50%	51%	32%	51%
SALT LAKE CITY	30%	34%	45%	55%	40%	40%	41%	37%	34%	20%	20%	50%	50%	n/a	36%	22%
PORTLAND AREA																
PORTLAND	14%	0%	0%	14%	0%	36%	13%	9%	14%	14%	14%	0%	n/a	37%	37%	24%
SEATTLE	18%	17%	17%	17%	19%	14%	18%	18%	15%	18%	18%	18%	18%	23%	22%	22%
TUCSON AREA																
TUCSON	17%	28%	25%	26%	37%	29%	27%	32%	No report	28%	28%	29%	29%	39%	27%	31%
TOTAL	20%	23%	23%	23%	No report	16%	22%	22%	No report	20%	23%	25%	19%	19%	29%	24%

7. RATIO OF INDIAN USERS TO IHS DOLLARS

The ratio of Indian users to IHS dollars is calculated as:

$$\frac{\text{Indian users/total users}}{\text{IHS dollars/total users}}$$

For example, if 75% of the Urban Indian Health Program's users are reported as Indian, and 50% of the program's funds are provided for by IHS, the ratio is 1.50. The greater the value of the ratio of Indian users to IHS dollars, the more favorable the index. A ratio of greater than 1.00 indicates that the Urban Indian Health Program is leveraging non-IHS funding to subsidize health care for American Indians. A ratio of less than 1.00 indicates that some IHS funding is being used to subsidize health care for non-Indians.

TABLE 7.1 RATIO OF INDIAN USERS TO IHS DOLLARS: This table displays the ratio of Indian users to IHS dollars for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 7.1 RATIO OF INDIAN USERS TO IHS DOLLARS

ABERDEEN AREA	
LINCOLN	0.47
PIERRE	1.08
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	
ALBUQUERQUE	1.41
DENVER	1.05
BEMIDJI AREA	
CHICAGO	1.26
DETROIT	1.05
GREEN BAY	1.35
MILWAUKEE	1.34
MINNEAPOLIS	1.66
BILLINGS AREA	
BILLINGS	1.02
BUTTE	0.97
GREAT FALLS	1.65
HELENA	0.98
MISSOULA	1.10
CALIFORNIA AREA	
BAKERSFIELD	1.00
FRESNO	0.89
LOS ANGELES	1.06
SACRAMENTO	1.54
SAN DIEGO	1.64
SAN FRANSICO	2.18
SAN JOSE	1.07
SANTA BARBARA	1.31
NASHVILLE AREA	
BOSTON	1.00
NEW YORK CITY	1.19
NAVAJO AREA	
FLAGSTAFF	3.23
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA	
DALLAS	1.40
WICHITA	0.72
PHOENIX AREA	
PHOENIX	1.49
RENO	0.94
SALT LAKE CITY	0.65
PORTLAND AREA	
PORTLAND	2.91
SEATTLE	1.44
TUCSON AREA	
TUCSON	1.03
TOTAL	1.34

8. STAFF PRODUCTIVITY RATIO BY PROGRAM

The calculated staff productivity ratio was first used by IHS in FY 1984 to permit comparison of staff productivity between programs offering different types and amounts of services. The calculated staff productivity ratio is derived by multiplying the **encounter/year rates* by the number and type of providers reported, producing the expected number of encounters. The staff productivity ratio is then calculated for each Urban Indian Health Program as:

$$\frac{\text{Actual Reported Encounters}}{\text{Expected Number of Encounters}}$$

The **encounter/year rates* utilized in this calculation were the same as those used in past years:

Type of Service Provider	* <i>Encounter/Year Rate</i>
Medical Primary Care	4200
Medical Mid-level	2100
Dentists	2600
Dental Hygienist/Oral Therapist	1300
Mental Health	910
Community Service & Other Allied Health	728

If the reported number of encounters is greater than the expected number of encounters, then the staff productivity ratio will be greater than 1.00. If the reported number of encounters is less than the expected number of encounters, then the staff productivity ratio will be smaller than 1.00.

TABLE 8.1 STAFF PRODUCTIVITY RATIO BY PROGRAM: This table presents the calculation of staff productivity ratio for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 8.1 STAFF PRODUCTIVITY RATIO BY PROGRAM

	RATIO A/E
ABERDEEN AREA	
LINCOLN	0.96
PIERRE	0.80
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	
ALBUQUERQUE	1.38
DENVER	0.50
BEMIDJI AREA	
CHICAGO	0.62
DETROIT	1.12
GREEN BAY	0.60
MILWAUKEE	0.27
MINNEAPOLIS	0.74
BILLINGS AREA	
BILLINGS	3.26
BUTTE	1.40
GREAT FALLS	3.15
HELENA	0.88
MISSOULA	0.95
CALIFORNIA AREA	
BAKERSFIELD	0.47
FRESNO	1.04
LOS ANGELES	1.95
SACRAMENTO	0.70
SAN DIEGO	0.50
SAN FRANSICO	0.65
SAN JOSE	0.59
SANTA BARBARA	0.65
NASHVILLE AREA	
BOSTON	1.57
NEW YORK CITY	1.16
NAVAJO AREA	
FLAGSTAFF	1.25
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA	
DALLAS	0.56
WICHITA	0.89
PHOENIX AREA	
PHOENIX	1.52
RENO	0.60
SALT LAKE CITY	0.61
PORTLAND AREA	
PORTLAND	0.49
SEATTLE	1.13
TUCSON AREA	
TUCSON	1.00
TOTAL	1.03

9. PROJECT UTILIZATION

Project utilization examines both the numbers and percentages of Indian, non-Indian, and total unduplicated project users within each of the service types provided by the Urban Indian Health Programs.

TABLE 9.1 TOTAL, INDIAN AND NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE: This table presents the total number of service users, the number of Indian service users, and the number of non-Indian service users for FY 1999.

TABLE 9.2 PERCENT OF INDIAN AND NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE: This table presents the percentage of the total users that are Indian or non-Indian for FY 1999.

TABLE 9.3 TOTAL USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: This table presents the total users by service type by Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 9.4 INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: This table presents the number of Indian users by service type by Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 9.5 NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: This table presents the number of non-Indian users by service type by Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 9.6 PERCENT INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: This table presents the Indian users as a percentage of total users by service type by Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 9.7 PERCENT NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: This table presents the non-Indian users as a percentage of total users by service type by Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 9.1 TOTAL INDIAN AND NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYP

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 9.2 PERCENT OF INDIAN AND NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE

		OB/GYN/ PRENATAL				ANCILLARY SERVICES		HEALTH EDUCATION		BEHAVIORAL HEALTH		COMMUNITY SERVICES		ENABLING SERVICES									
MEDICAL USERS	NON-INDIAN	INDIAN	NON-INDIAN	INDIAN	NON-INDIAN	INDIAN	NON-INDIAN	INDIAN	NON-INDIAN	INDIAN	NON-INDIAN	INDIAN	NON-INDIAN	INDIAN	NON-INDIAN								
ABERDEEN AREA																							
LINCOLN	33%	67%	11%	89%	5%	95%	n/a	n/a	36%	64%	73%	27%	82%	18%	100%	0%							
PIERRE	84%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	5%	100%	0%	92%	8%	49%	51%							
ALBUQUERQUE AREA																							
ALBUQUERQUE	58%	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%	72%	28%	86%	14%	93%	7%	n/a	n/a						
DENVER	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	93%	7%	n/a	n/a	98%	2%	100%	0%	n/a	n/a						
BEMIDJI AREA																							
CHICAGO	95%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%	98%	2%						
DETROIT	92%	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	76%	24%	77%	23%	100%	0%	100%	0%						
GREEN BAY	n/a	n/a	93%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%	21%	n/a	47%	53%	10%	73%	27%	95%	5%					
MILWAUKEE	97%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	10%	n/a	n/a	80%	20%	n/a	n/a	91%	9%	n/a	n/a				
MINNEAPOLIS	73%	27%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%	32%	76%	24%	64%	36%	83%	17%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%				
BILLINGS AREA																							
BILLINGS	95%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	89%	11%	n/a	100%				
BUTTE	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%				
GREAT FALLS	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a				
HELENA	76%	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	97%	3%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%				
MISSOULA	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	63%	37%	n/a	n/a				
CALIFORNIA AREA																							
BAKERSFIELD	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	89%	11%	n/a	100%				
FRESNO	98%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%				
LOS ANGELES	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	94%	6%	97%	3%				
SACRAMENTO	48%	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	33%	37%	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	19%	100%	0%	100%	0%					
SAN DIEGO	83%	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%	43%	n/a	n/a	92%	8%	n/a	n/a			
SAN FRANCISCO	39%	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%	57%	85%	15%	96%	70%	30%	n/a	n/a	74%	26%	n/a	n/a			
SAN JOSE	25%	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%	75%	25%	75%	74%	78%	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
SANTA BARBARA	94%	6%	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	44%	56%	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%		
NASHVILLE AREA																							
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%		
NEW YORK CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%	6%	97%	3%	n/a	n/a		
NAVAJO AREA																							
FLAGSTAFF	100%	0%	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%	27%	99%	1%	99%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA																							
DALLAS	98%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	5%	96%	4%	98%	2%	90%	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%	13%		
WICHITA	15%	85%	8%	92%	n/a	n/a	13%	87%	n/a	n/a	9%	91%	14%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
PHOENIX AREA																							
PHOENIX	82%	18%	100%	0%	81%	19%	99%	1%	88%	12%	81%	19%	100%	0%	100%	0%	96%	4%	93%	7%			
RENO	98%	2%	64%	44%	56%	n/a	n/a	64%	36%	30%	70%	n/a	n/a	87%	13%	n/a	n/a	42%	58%	n/a	n/a		
SALT LAKE CITY	36%	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%		
PORTLAND AREA																							
PORTLAND	100%	0%	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	67%	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
SEATTLE	55%	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%	44%	n/a	n/a	59%	41%	71%	29%	n/a	n/a	74%	26%	n/a	n/a		
TUCSON AREA																							
TUCSON	100%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%	40%	77%	23%	66%	34%	83%	17%	100%	0%	100%	0%	70%	30%	n/a	n/a
TOTAL	66%	34%	79%	21%	64%	36%	60%	40%	77%	23%	66%	34%	83%	17%	100%	0%	100%	0%	70%	30%	90%	10%	

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 9.3 TOTAL USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL PROJECT USERS
ABERDEEN AREA											
LINCOLN	1,716	35	899	0	978	82	250	15	182	912	5,199
PIERRE	1,676	0	0	0	0	0	530	5	333	0	2,603
ALBUQUERQUE AREA											
ALBUQUERQUE	648	0	0	0	5,537	8,586	375	0	833	0	15,979
DENVER	176	0	69	89	201	0	81	73	326	0	763
BEMIDJI AREA											
CHICAGO	590	0	0	470	0	60	484	0	1,011	365	2,980
DETROIT	431	0	0	180	577	124	903	412	493	287	1,214
GREEN BAY	0	0	0	0	24	0	291	30	157	0	448
MILWAUKEE	1,029	43	0	0	105	0	46	0	0	3	1,058
MINNEAPOLIS	4,975	0	0	2,663	450	1,020	612	0	1,344	0	7,705
BILLINGS AREA											
BILLINGS	2,229	0	0	0	137	0	913	0	1,265	433	5,153
BUTTE	213	33	0	36	96	0	98	370	97	0	581
GREAT FALLS	2,002	0	0	7	0	0	322	0	5,280	0	3,142
HELENA	532	0	0	0	363	38	483	0	260	317	1,852
MISSOULA	172	0	117	1	0	0	248	46	572	0	924
CALIFORNIA AREA											
BAKERSFIELD	120	7	0	61	30	20	6	31	30	108	413
FRESNO	125	0	0	0	67	0	248	69	33	209	566
LOS ANGELES	1,652	0	0	308	218	16	0	9	11	1,318	672
SACRAMENTO	1,159	0	2,663	1,139	0	0	166	4,816	244	0	7,810
SAN DIEGO	762	0	0	574	0	0	70	0	0	0	1,379
SAN FRANCISCO	4,590	0	0	2,366	845	4,430	389	0	2,358	0	14,978
SAN JOSE	2,378	0	0	619	93	47	121	0	0	0	3,258
SANTA BARBARA	186	14	0	129	76	29	85	0	225	85	703
NASHVILLE AREA											
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	844	0	0	0	4,296	687	280
NEW YORK CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	136	0	553	0	594
NAVAJO AREA											
FLAGSTAFF	3,930	988	0	0	337	118	361	0	0	0	4,039
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA											
DALLAS	2,201	0	0	611	441	305	58	0	0	628	4,244
WICHITA	5,973	380	0	1,213	0	125	462	0	0	0	11,749
PHOENIX AREA											
PHOENIX	1,801	93	1,548	1,248	651	4,705	555	645	485	305	11,966
RENO	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	327	0	1,082	577	1,008
SALT LAKE CITY	505	9	0	176	23	0	181	0	18,944	0	19,572
PORTLAND AREA											
PORTLAND	1,304	258	0	0	0	311	346	0	0	0	1,660
SEATTLE	6,410	0	6,784	1,964	0	1,292	616	0	763	0	6,861
TUCSON AREA											
TUCSON	669	0	0	0	295	354	313	3,089	448	0	4,655
TOTAL	51,302	1,860	12,080	13,854	12,388	21,662	10,076	9,610	41,625	6,234	146,008

N

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 9.4 INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	INDIAN PROJECT USERS
ABERDEEN AREA											
LINCOLN	565	4	42	0	350	60	205	15	182	443	1,806
PIERRE	1,402	0	0	0	0	0	506	5	307	0	2,302
ALBUQUERQUE AREA											
ALBUQUERQUE	376	0	0	0	4,014	7,392	349	0	833	0	12,964
DENVER	176	0	69	89	186	0	79	73	324	0	744
BEMIDJI AREA											
CHICAGO	559	0	0	0	470	0	60	484	0	994	365
DETROIT	396	0	0	0	180	437	124	697	412	362	2,932
GREEN BAY	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	137	27	143	1,033
MILWAUKEE	995	40	0	0	0	94	0	37	0	0	280
MINNEAPOLIS	3,632	0	0	1,811	344	653	509	0	1,087	0	1,019
BILLINGS AREA											
BILLINGS	2,107	0	0	0	137	0	813	0	1,262	426	5,153
BUTTE	213	33	0	36	96	0	98	370	97	0	559
GREAT FALLS	2,002	0	0	7	0	0	322	0	5,280	0	3,142
HELENA	403	0	0	0	351	38	483	0	260	317	1,500
MISSOULA	172	0	117	1	0	0	156	46	563	0	924
CALIFORNIA AREA											
BAKERSFIELD	120	7	0	61	30	20	6	31	30	108	413
FRESNO	123	0	0	0	62	0	189	65	32	203	501
LOS ANGELES	1,652	0	0	308	218	16	0	9	11	1,318	672
SACRAMENTO	559	0	1,776	427	0	0	135	4,816	224	0	6,327
SAN DIEGO	634	0	0	473	0	0	40	0	0	0	1,147
SAN FRANCISCO	1,808	0	0	1,016	718	177	273	0	1,737	0	5,729
SAN JOSE	595	0	0	155	23	12	94	0	0	0	815
SANTA BARBARA	175	14	0	129	76	29	37	0	225	85	674
NASHVILLE AREA											
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	835	0	0	0	4,234	687	280
NEW YORK CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	0	534	0	570
NAVAJO AREA											
FLAGSTAFF	3,926	988	0	0	247	117	359	0	0	0	4,034
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA											
DALLAS	2,158	0	0	582	423	298	52	0	0	544	4,057
WICHITA	870	30	0	163	0	11	65	0	0	0	1,109
PHOENIX AREA											
PHOENIX	1,483	93	1,258	1,231	572	3,797	553	644	467	285	10,313
RENO	1,125	0	0	0	0	0	286	0	1,082	577	951
SALT LAKE CITY	182	4	0	113	7	0	180	0	7,865	0	11,354
PORTLAND AREA											
PORTLAND	1,304	258	0	1,093	0	311	346	0	0	0	1,660
SEATTLE	3,511	0	4,526	1,093	0	757	436	0	564	0	4,246
TUCSON AREA											
TUCSON	669	0	0	0	295	364	313	3,089	448	0	4,655
TOTAL	33,892	1,471	7,788	8,345	9,534	14,226	8,368	9,602	29,147	5,633	99,278

N

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 9.5 NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	NON-INDIAN PROJECT USERS
ABERDEEN AREA											
LINCOLN	1,151	31	857	0	628	22	45	0	0	469	3,393
PIERRE	274	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	26	0	301
ALBUQUERQUE AREA											
ALBUQUERQUE	272	0	0	0	1,523	1,194	26	0	0	0	3,015
DENVER	0	0	0	0	15	0	2	0	2	0	19
BEMIDJI AREA											
CHICAGO	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	48
DETROIT	35	0	0	0	140	0	206	0	131	16	181
GREEN BAY	0	0	0	0	5	0	154	3	14	0	168
MILWAUKEE	34	3	0	0	11	0	9	0	0	0	39
MINNEAPOLIS	1,343	0	0	852	106	367	103	0	257	0	2,292
BILLINGS AREA											
BILLINGS	122	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	3	7	0
BUTTE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
GREAT FALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HELENA	129	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	352
MISSOULA	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	0	9	0	0
CALIFORNIA AREA											
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRESNO	2	0	0	0	5	0	59	4	1	6	65
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SACRAMENTO	600	0	887	712	0	0	31	0	20	0	1,483
SAN DIEGO	128	0	0	101	0	0	30	0	0	0	232
SAN FRANCISCO	2,782	0	0	1,350	127	4,253	116	0	621	0	9,249
SAN JOSE	1,783	0	0	464	70	35	27	0	0	0	2,443
SANTA BARBARA	11	0	0	0	0	0	48	0	0	0	29
NASHVILLE AREA											
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	62	0	0
NEW YORK CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	19	0	24
NAVAJO AREA											
FLAGSTAFF	4	0	0	0	90	1	2	0	0	0	5
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA											
DALLAS	43	0	0	29	18	7	6	0	0	84	187
WICHITA	5,103	350	0	1,050	0	114	397	0	0	0	10,640
PHOENIX AREA											
PHOENIX	318	0	290	17	79	908	2	1	18	20	1,653
RENO	23	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	57
SALT LAKE CITY	323	5	0	63	16	0	1	0	11,079	0	8,218
PORTLAND AREA											
PORTLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	535	180	0	0	0
SEATTLE	2,899	0	2,258	871	0	0	535	180	0	199	0
TUCSON AREA											
TUCSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	17,410	389	4,292	5,509	2,854	7,436	1,708	8	12,478	602	46,730

N

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 9.6 PERCENT INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL USERS
ABERDEEN AREA	33%	11% n/a	5% n/a	n/a 100%	36% n/a	73% n/a	82% 95%	100% 100%	100% 92%	49% n/a	35% 88%
LINCOLN											
PIERRE	84%										
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	58%	n/a n/a	n/a 100%	n/a 100%	72% 93%	86% n/a	93% 98%	n/a 100%	100% 99%	n/a n/a	81% 98%
ALBUQUERQUE	100%										
DENVER											
BEMIDJI AREA	95%	n/a n/a 93% n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% 100% 79% 68%	n/a n/a n/a 76%	100% 100% 47% 80%	100% 77% 90% 83%	n/a n/a n/a n/a	98% 73% 91% 81%	100% 95% n/a 100%	98% 85% 63% 96%
CHICAGO											
DETROIT											
GREEN BAY											
MILWAUKEE											
MINNEAPOLIS											
BILLINGS AREA	95%	n/a 100% 100% 76% 100%	n/a n/a n/a n/a 100%	n/a 100% 100% 97% 100%	100% n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% n/a n/a 100% n/a	89% 100% 100% 100% 100%	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	98% n/a n/a 100% n/a	100% 96% 100% 81% 100%
BILLINGS											
BUTTE											
GREAT FALLS											
HELENA											
MISSOULA											
CALIFORNIA AREA	100%	100% 98% 100% 48% 83% 39% 25% 94%	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 100%	100% n/a n/a 67% n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% 93% 100% 37% 82% 43% 25% 100%	100% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 100%	100% 76% 100% 81% 57% 4% 26% 100%	100% 94% 100% 100% n/a n/a n/a 44%	100% 97% 100% 92% n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% 97% 100% n/a n/a n/a n/a 100%	100% 96% 100% 81% 83% 38% 25% 96%
BAKERSFIELD											
FRESNO											
LOS ANGELES											
SACRAMENTO											
SAN DIEGO											
SAN FRANCISCO											
SAN JOSE											
SANTA BARBARA											
NASHVILLE AREA	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	98% n/a	n/a n/a	99% 95%	n/a n/a	99% 97%	n/a n/a	100% 96%
BOSTON											
NEW YORK CITY											
NAVAJO AREA	100%	100% 8%	n/a n/a	n/a 13%	73%	93%	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%
FLAGSTAFF											
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA	98%	n/a 44%	n/a n/a	95% 64%	96% 30%	98% n/a	90% 87%	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	87% n/a	96% 58%
DALLAS											
WICHITA											
PHOENIX AREA	82%	100% n/a	81% n/a	99% n/a	88% n/a	81% n/a	100% 87%	100% n/a	96% 100%	93% n/a	86% 94%
PHOENIX											
RENO											
SALT LAKE CITY											
PORTLAND AREA	100%	100% 55%	n/a 67%	56% n/a	n/a n/a	100% 59%	100% 71%	n/a n/a	n/a 74%	n/a n/a	100% 62%
PORTLAND											
SEATTLE											
TUCSON AREA	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
TUCSON											
TOTAL	66%	79%	64%	60%	77%	66%	83%	100%	70%	90%	68%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 9.7 PERCENT NON-INDIAN USERS BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL USERS
ABERDEEN AREA											
LINCOLN	67%	89%	95%	n/a	64%	27%	18%	0%	0%	51%	65%
PIERRE	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	0%	0%	8%	n/a	12%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA											
ALBUQUERQUE	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a	28%	14%	7%	n/a	0%	n/a	19%
DENVER	0%	n/a	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	1%	n/a	2%
BEMIDJI AREA											
CHICAGO	5%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	0%	0%	n/a	2%	0%	2%
DETROIT	8%	n/a	n/a	0%	24%	0%	23%	0%	27%	5%	15%
GREEN BAY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a	53%	10%	9%	n/a	38%
MILWAUKEE	3%	7%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	20%	n/a	0%	0%	4%
MINNEAPOLIS	27%	n/a	n/a	32%	24%	36%	17%	n/a	19%	n/a	30%
BILLINGS AREA											
BILLINGS	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%	n/a	11%	n/a	0%	2%
BUTTE	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	0%	0%	n/a	0%	0%	n/a	4%
GREAT FALLS	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	0%	n/a	0%	n/a	0%
HELENA	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	0%	0%	n/a	0%	0%	19%
MISSOULA	0%	n/a	0%	n/a	0%	n/a	37%	0%	2%	n/a	0%
CALIFORNIA AREA											
BAKERSFIELD	0%	0%	n/a	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
FRESNO	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	24%	6%	3%	3%	11%
LOS ANGELES	0%	n/a	n/a	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
SACRAMENTO	52%	n/a	33%	63%	n/a	n/a	19%	0%	8%	n/a	19%
SAN DIEGO	17%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	43%	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%
SAN FRANCISCO	61%	n/a	n/a	57%	15%	96%	30%	n/a	26%	n/a	62%
SAN JOSE	75%	n/a	n/a	75%	75%	74%	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
SANTA BARBARA	6%	0%	n/a	0%	0%	0%	56%	n/a	0%	0%	4%
NASHVILLE AREA											
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	n/a	n/a	1%	0%	0%	0%
NEW YORK CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	3%	n/a	3%	4%
NAVAJO AREA											
FLAGSTAFF	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	27%	1%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA											
DALLAS	2%	n/a	n/a	5%	4%	2%	10%	n/a	n/a	13%	4%
WICHITA	85%	92%	n/a	87%	n/a	91%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
PHOENIX AREA											
PHOENIX	18%	0%	19%	1%	12%	19%	0%	0%	4%	7%	14%
RENO	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	0%	0%	0%	6%
SALT LAKE CITY	64%	56%	n/a	36%	70%	n/a	1%	n/a	58%	n/a	42%
PORTLAND AREA											
PORTLAND	0%	0%	n/a	44%	n/a	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
SEATTLE	45%	n/a	33%	44%	41%	29%	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a	38%
TUCSON AREA											
TUCSON	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	0%
TOTAL	34%	21%	36%	40%	23%	34%	17%	0%	30%	10%	32%

10. SERVICE UTILIZATION

Service utilization was examined as the average number of encounters per user for each service category. Another measure of service utilization is the percentage of project users who utilized each of the individual service categories. This measure is used, but due to inconsistencies in data reported on unduplicated project users, caution is required in the interpretation of results.

TABLE 10.1 AVERAGE NUMBER OF ENCOUNTERS PER USER BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM: This table presents the average number of encounters per user by service type by Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. These averages are calculated by dividing the number of encounters for each service type by the number of users in the corresponding service type.

TABLE 10.2 PERCENT USERS UTILIZING EACH SERVICE: This table presents the percentage of project users utilizing each service by Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. These percents indicate what proportion of the project's annual user base utilize each of the services provided by the Urban Indian Health Program.

TABLE 10.3 PERCENT INDIAN USERS UTILIZING EACH SERVICE: This table presents the percentage of Indian project users utilizing each service type for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. This number is calculated by dividing the number of Indian service users by the number of Indian project users.

TABLE 10.4 PERCENT NON-INDIAN USERS UTILIZING EACH SERVICE: This table presents the percentage of non-Indian users utilizing each service type for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. This number is calculated by dividing the number of non-Indian users by the number of total users in that service type.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 10.1 AVERAGE NUMBER OF ENCOUNTERS PER USER BY SERVICE TYPE BY PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL PROJECT USERS
ABERDEEN AREA											
LINCOLN	4.98	1.00	5.01	n/a	1.04 n/a	0.57 n/a	5.29 1.12	3.67 51.60	4.31 1.48	3.25 n/a	2.84 5.05
PIERRE	5.24	n/a	0.00	n/a	3.31	1.32	13.01	1.21	3.26	n/a	4.60
ALBUQUERQUE AREA											
ALBUQUERQUE	1.87	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.00	n/a	1.00	7.47	n/a	1.18	1.20
DENVER	3.37	n/a	0.00	n/a	2.77 n/a	2.73 n/a	3.31 n/a	4.11 5.03	2.70 1.77	4.40	3.70
BEMIDJI AREA											
CHICAGO	2.91	n/a	n/a	1.00	n/a	0.00	6.05	n/a	2.85	3.00	3.09
DETROIT	5.12	n/a	n/a	2.77 n/a	2.73 n/a	3.00 n/a	4.11 3.65	2.70 n/a	4.40 n/a	3.70 n/a	10.62 6.79
GREEN BAY	n/a	3.28	n/a	n/a	2.37	n/a	6.07	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.18
MILWAUKEE	1.69	n/a	n/a	2.46	6.07	0.00	6.81	n/a	0.00	n/a	3.55
MINNEAPOLIS	2.79	n/a	n/a	2.87	1.00	n/a	n/a	8.52	1.00	3.89	n/a
BILLINGS AREA											
BILLINGS	3.81	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.66	n/a	4.79	n/a	7.33	0.00	4.73
BUTTE	2.41	0.00	n/a	1.00	0.00	n/a	8.22	0.07	7.28	n/a	14.55
GREAT FALLS	2.03	n/a	n/a	2.00	n/a	n/a	0.98	n/a	0.00	n/a	3.08
HELENA	4.12	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.00	1.24	3.47	n/a	1.50	1.25	2.62
MISSOULA	1.73	n/a	2.87	1.00	n/a	n/a	8.52	1.00	3.89	n/a	5.07
CALIFORNIA AREA											
BAKERSFIELD	5.00	0.00	n/a	0.00	1.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	3.24	3.15
FRESNO	7.09	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.00	n/a	9.43	0.00	7.85	9.97	9.84
LOS ANGELES	2.61	n/a	n/a	2.31	3.18	1.00	n/a	1.00	1.27	2.38	24.79
SACRAMENTO	4.38	n/a	0.00	3.17	n/a	n/a	10.02	0.22	0.12	n/a	1.46
SAN DIEGO	2.83	n/a	n/a	3.28	n/a	n/a	9.50	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.08
SAN FRANCISCO	1.88	n/a	n/a	3.46	3.70	0.21	11.44	n/a	1.54	n/a	1.96
SAN JOSE	2.79	n/a	n/a	3.05	10.46	7.96	16.40	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.64
SANTA BARBARA	3.85	0.00	n/a	2.99	17.04	3.62	4.35	n/a	8.48	13.67	8.45
NASHVILLE AREA											
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.00	1.00	20.82
NEW YORK CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13.35	n/a	10.49	n/a	12.82
NAVAJO AREA											
FLAGSTAFF	1.42	0.00	n/a	n/a	1.63	2.54	11.13	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.59
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA											
DALLAS	2.31	n/a	n/a	1.98	0.96	1.12	3.09	n/a	n/a	2.04	2.27
WICHITA	3.16	8.95	n/a	1.71	n/a	3.09	5.37	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.35
PHOENIX AREA											
PHOENIX	1.46	9.24	3.67	2.78	1.62	5.95	5.49	13.84	2.34	2.10	4.16
RENO	1.00	n/a	n/a	1.84	n/a	49.04	n/a	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.04
SALT LAKE CITY	2.47	13.67	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.93	n/a	0.00	n/a	0.17
PORTLAND AREA											
PORTLAND	4.52	0.00	n/a	n/a	1.08	2.98	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.34
SEATTLE	3.74	n/a	9.35	2.39	n/a	1.83	8.09	n/a	2.26	n/a	6.72
TUCSON AREA											
TUCSON	0.99	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.00	2.03	1.97	6.50	1.76	1.17	1.11
TOTAL	2.89	2.92	8.51	2.62	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	5.44	2.90

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 10.2 PERCENT USERS UTILIZING EACH SERVICE

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES
ABERDEEN AREA										
LINCOLN	33%	1%	17%	0%	19%	2%	5%	0%	4%	18%
PIERRE	64%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	13%	0%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA										
ALBUQUERQUE	4%	0%	0%	0%	35%	54%	2%	0%	5%	0%
DENVER	23%	0%	9%	12%	26%	0%	11%	10%	43%	0%
BEMIDJI AREA										
CHICAGO	20%	0%	0%	16%	0%	2%	16%	0%	34%	12%
DETROIT	36%	0%	0%	15%	48%	10%	74%	34%	41%	24%
GREEN BAY	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	65%	7%	35%	0%
MILWAUKEE	97%	4%	0%	0%	10%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%
MINNEAPOLIS	65%	0%	0%	35%	6%	13%	8%	0%	17%	0%
BILLINGS AREA										
BILLINGS	43%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	18%	0%	25%	8%
BUTTE	37%	6%	0%	6%	17%	0%	17%	64%	17%	0%
GREAT FALLS	64%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	168%	0%
HELENA	29%	0%	0%	0%	20%	2%	26%	0%	14%	17%
MISSOULA	19%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	27%	5%	62%	0%
CALIFORNIA AREA										
BAKERSFIELD	29%	2%	0%	15%	7%	5%	1%	8%	7%	26%
FRESNO	22%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	44%	12%	6%	37%
LOS ANGELES	246%	0%	0%	46%	32%	2%	0%	1%	2%	196%
SACRAMENTO	15%	0%	34%	15%	0%	0%	2%	62%	3%	0%
SAN DIEGO	55%	0%	0%	42%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%
SAN FRANCISCO	31%	0%	0%	16%	6%	30%	3%	0%	18%	0%
SAN JOSE	73%	0%	0%	19%	3%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%
SANTA BARBARA	26%	2%	0%	18%	11%	4%	12%	0%	32%	12%
NASHVILLE AREA										
BOSTON	0%	0%	0%	0%	301%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1534%
NEW YORK CITY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	0%	93%	0%
NAVAJO AREA										
FLAGSTAFF	97%	24%	0%	0%	8%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA										
DALLAS	52%	0%	0%	14%	10%	7%	1%	0%	0%	15%
WICHITA	51%	3%	0%	10%	0%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%
PHOENIX AREA										
PHOENIX	15%	1%	13%	10%	5%	39%	5%	5%	4%	3%
RENO	114%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	32%	0%	107%	57%
SALT LAKE CITY	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	97%	0%
PORTLAND AREA										
PORTLAND	79%	16%	0%	0%	0%	19%	21%	0%	0%	0%
SEATTLE	93%	0%	99%	29%	0%	19%	9%	0%	11%	0%
TUCSON AREA										
TUCSON	14%	0%	0%	0%	6%	8%	7%	66%	10%	0%
TOTAL	35%	1%	8%	9%	8%	15%	7%	7%	29%	4%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 10.3 PERCENT INDIAN USERS UTILIZING EACH PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PREGNATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES
ABERDEEN AREA										
LINCOLN	31%	0%	2%	0%	19%	3%	11%	1%	10%	25%
PIERRE	61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	13%	0%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA										
ALBUQUERQUE	3%	0%	0%	0%	31%	57%	3%	0%	6%	0%
DENVER	24%	0%	9%	12%	25%	0%	11%	10%	44%	0%
BEMIDJI AREA										
CHICAGO	19%	0%	0%	16%	0%	2%	17%	0%	34%	12%
DETROIT	38%	0%	0%	17%	42%	12%	67%	40%	35%	26%
GREEN BAY	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	49%	10%	51%	0%
MILWAUKEE	98%	4%	0%	0%	9%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%
MINNEAPOLIS	67%	0%	0%	33%	6%	12%	9%	0%	20%	0%
BILLINGS AREA										
BILLINGS	41%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	16%	0%	24%	8%
BUTTE	38%	6%	0%	6%	17%	0%	18%	66%	17%	0%
GREAT FALLS	64%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	168%	0%
HELENA	27%	0%	0%	0%	23%	3%	32%	0%	17%	21%
MISSOULA	19%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	17%	5%	61%	0%
CALIFORNIA AREA										
BAKERSFIELD	29%	2%	0%	15%	7%	5%	1%	8%	7%	26%
FRESNO	25%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	38%	13%	6%	41%
LOS ANGELES	246%	0%	0%	46%	32%	2%	0%	1%	2%	196%
SACRAMENTO	9%	0%	28%	7%	0%	0%	2%	76%	4%	0%
SAN DIEGO	55%	0%	0%	41%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
SAN FRANCISCO	32%	0%	0%	18%	13%	3%	5%	0%	30%	0%
SAN JOSE	73%	0%	0%	19%	3%	1%	12%	0%	0%	0%
SANTA BARBARA	26%	2%	0%	19%	11%	4%	5%	0%	33%	13%
NASHVILLE AREA										
BOSTON	0%	0%	0%	0%	298%	0%	0%	0%	1512%	245%
NEW YORK CITY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	0%	94%	0%
NAVAJO AREA										
FLAGSTAFF	97%	24%	0%	0%	6%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA										
DALLAS	53%	0%	0%	14%	10%	7%	1%	0%	0%	13%
WICHITA	78%	3%	0%	15%	0%	1%	6%	0%	0%	0%
PHOENIX AREA										
PHOENIX	14%	1%	12%	12%	6%	37%	5%	6%	5%	3%
RENO	118%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%	114%	61%
SALT LAKE CITY	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	69%	0%
PORTLAND AREA										
PORTLAND	79%	16%	0%	0%	0%	19%	21%	0%	0%	0%
SEATTLE	83%	0%	107%	26%	0%	18%	10%	0%	13%	0%
TUCSON AREA										
TUCSON	14%	0%	0%	0%	6%	8%	7%	66%	10%	0%
TOTAL	34%	1%	8%	8%	10%	14%	8%	10%	29%	6%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 10.4 PERCENT NON-INDIAN USERS UTILIZING EACH SERVICE

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES
ABERDEEN AREA										
LINCOLN	34%	1%	25%	0%	19%	1%	1%	0%	0%	14%
PIERRE	91%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	9%	0%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA										
ALBUQUERQUE	9%	0%	0%	0%	51%	40%	1%	0%	0%	0%
DENVER	0%	0%	0%	0%	79%	0%	11%	0%	11%	0%
BEMIDJI AREA										
CHICAGO	65%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	35%
DETROIT	19%	0%	0%	0%	77%	0%	114%	0%	72%	9%
GREEN BAY	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	92%	2%	8%	0%
MILWAUKEE	87%	8%	0%	0%	28%	0%	23%	0%	0%	0%
MINNEAPOLIS	59%	0%	0%	37%	5%	16%	4%	0%	11%	0%
BILLINGS AREA										
BILLINGS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
BUTTE	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
GREAT FALLS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HELENA	37%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MISSOULA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CALIFORNIA AREA										
BAKERSFIELD	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
FRESNO	3%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	91%	6%	2%	9%
LOS ANGELES	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SACRAMENTO	40%	0%	60%	48%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%
SAN DIEGO	55%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%
SAN FRANCISCO	30%	0%	0%	15%	1%	46%	1%	0%	7%	0%
SAN JOSE	73%	0%	0%	19%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
SANTA BARBARA	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	166%	0%	0%	0%
NASHVILLE AREA										
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NEW YORK CITY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	79%	0%
NAVAJO AREA										
FLAGSTAFF	80%	0%	0%	0%	1800%	20%	40%	0%	0%	0%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA										
DALLAS	23%	0%	0%	16%	10%	4%	3%	0%	0%	45%
WICHITA	48%	3%	0%	10%	0%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%
PHOENIX AREA										
PHOENIX	19%	0%	18%	1%	5%	55%	0%	0%	1%	1%
RENO	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	72%	0%	0%	0%
SALT LAKE CITY	4%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	135%	0%
PORTLAND AREA										
PORTLAND	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SEATTLE	111%	0%	86%	33%	0%	20%	7%	0%	8%	0%
TUCSON AREA										
TUCSON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TOTAL	37%	1%	9%	12%	6%	16%	4%	0%	27%	1%

11. PROVIDER STAFFING AND PRODUCTIVITY

Provider staffing and productivity were examined as the average number of encounters and average number of users per full-time equivalent (FTE) provider by service category. Medical and dental productivity were examined by full-time equivalent medical or dental team, as applicable. In this case the medical midlevel provider and dental hygienist FTE's were calculated as equal to one half FTE physician or dentist in calculating team productivity.

TABLE 11.1 FULL TIME EQUIVALENT STAFFING BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH

PROGRAM: This table presents the full time equivalent staffing for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. Full time equivalents are calculated on an individual basis by dividing the total number of hours in the reporting period for which the person was compensated by the total number of hours in the reporting period considered by the project to be full time. The total number of hours for which an individual was compensated includes the hours the individual worked and was reimbursed for his/her time, as well as paid leave time (vacation, sick leave, continuing education, trips, etc.).

TABLE 11.2 AVERAGE NUMBER OF USERS PER FULL TIME EQUIVALENT:

This table presents the average number of users per full time equivalent provider for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. This number is calculated by dividing the number of users for each service type by the number of full-time equivalent providers in the same service type.

TABLE 11.3 AVERAGE NUMBER OF ENCOUNTERS PER FULL TIME EQUIVALENT:

This table presents the average number of encounters per full time equivalent by service type for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. This number is calculated by dividing the number of encounters for each service type by the number of full time equivalents for the corresponding service type.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 11.1 FULL TIME EQUIVALENT STAFFING BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	PATIENT RECORDS	ADMIN.	FACILITY	TOTAL	
ABERDEEN AREA															
LINCOLN	4.8	0.1	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.1	5.2	0.1	1.0	3.2	0.0	6.0	0.0	22.5	
PIERRE	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.2	1.0	1.3	0.5	2.5	0.0	14.5	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA															
ALBUQUERQUE	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	5.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	5.0	0.0	21.2	
DENVER	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	9.5	
BEMIDJI AREA															
CHICAGO	2.5	0.0	2.8	0.3	0.0	3.3	0.0	3.3	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	16.0	
DETROIT	4.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.6	4.3	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.5	2.3	0.0	16.6	
GREEN BAY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.3	0.3	3.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.0	8.4	
MILWAUKEE	7.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.0	2.0	1.0	15.3	
MINNEAPOLIS	17.5	0.0	3.9	9.6	4.8	0.0	5.0	2.1	4.1	4.8	0.0	13.1	0.0	64.8	
BILLINGS AREA															
BILLINGS	1.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.3	2.5	0.0	2.5	1.0	0.4	13.0	
BUTTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	9.0	
GREAT FALLS	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.5	7.7	
HELENA	1.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.5	0.7	1.1	2.0	1.2	8.9	
MISSOULA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.3	4.3	0.0	10.0	
CALIFORNIA AREA															
BAKERSFIELD	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	6.5	
FRESNO	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.0	4.0	0.0	10.3	
LOS ANGELES	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	0.0	5.0	1.0	7.8	0.0	21.5	
SACRAMENTO	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	15.0	0.0	2.8	2.0	4.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	42.4	
SAN DIEGO	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	1.0	1.5	0.0	6.0	0.0	15.5
SAN FRANCISCO	15.9	3.8	0.0	15.6	8.1	10.9	8.7	1.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	15.1	3.0	87.1	
SAN JOSE	10.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	6.1	5.7	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	37.5	
SANTA BARBARA	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.0	0.5	2.5	2.0	3.0	0.5	1.0	5.0	0.0	17.1	
NASHVILLE AREA															
BOSTON	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.6	0.6	3.2	0.0	7.1	
NEW YORK CITY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	10.3	
NAVAJO AREA															
FLAGSTAFF	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.7	0.0	9.5	
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA															
DALLAS	3.5	0.0	1.5	1.8	0.7	1.0	2.1	1.2	0.0	6.9	1.0	10.5	1.0	31.2	
WICHITA	16.0	2.5	4.5	4.0	1.0	1.0	6.0	1.5	3.0	2.0	3.5	9.0	4.0	58.0	
PHOENIX AREA															
PHOENIX	3.4	2.0	1.0	10.1	1.0	6.0	4.0	8.0	9.0	2.5	13.0	15.0	0.0	75.0	
RENO	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	8.5	
SALT LAKE CITY	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	9.7	
PORTLAND AREA															
PORTLAND	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.0	2.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	6.0	0.0	27.7	
SEATTLE	24.1	0.0	7.8	7.4	1.2	3.9	7.8	1.0	9.7	1.2	8.1	17.0	1.0	90.2	
TUCSON AREA															
TUCSON	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	1.3	0.5	1.0	2.5	1.5	4.0	0.0	12.8	
TOTAL	151.9	9.1	20.3	63.8	56.4	33.7	101.2	28.0	73.4	39.2	41.6	182.2	14.6	815.2	

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 11.2 AVERAGE NUMBER OF SERVICE USERS PER FULL TIME EQUIVALENT

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL w/o OVERHEAD	TOTAL with OVERHEAD
ABERDEEN AREA	368	350	899	n/a	978	820	48	150	182	285	315	231
LINCOLN	279	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	177	25	333	0	226	316	180
PIERRE												
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	295	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,384	4,293	75	n/a	417	n/a	1,051	754
ALBUQUERQUE	440	n/a	345	n/a	201	n/a	27	n/a	408	n/a	141	80
BEMIDJI AREA	236	n/a	n/a	171	0	n/a	149	n/a	311	365	229	186
CHICAGO	107	0	n/a	n/a	295	225	211	465	537	263	88	73
DETROIT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83	n/a	230	95	52	n/a	91	53
GREEN BAY	147	172	n/a	n/a	70	n/a	19	n/a	329	30	94	69
MILWAUKEE	284	n/a	0	278	94	n/a	121	0	0	149	119	
MINNEAPOLIS												
BILLINGS AREA	2,083	n/a	0	n/a	55	n/a	365	0	506	n/a	566	398
BILLINGS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49	n/a	24	n/a	97	65
BUTTE	747	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	644	n/a	n/a	0	752	409
GREAT FALLS	380	0	0	n/a	3,630	3,800	302	0	520	453	399	207
HELENA				n/a	n/a	n/a	124	n/a	229	n/a	205	92
MISSOULA												
CALIFORNIA AREA	120	n/a	n/a	n/a	60	n/a	n/a	n/a	30	216	138	64
BAKERSFIELD	96	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	103	86	33	261	90	55
FRESNO	826	n/a	n/a	n/a	218	n/a	0	n/a	61	264	53	31
LOS ANGELES	207	n/a	n/a	n/a	190	0	n/a	59	2,408	n/a	221	184
SACRAMENTO	293	n/a	n/a	n/a	205	n/a	n/a	44	0	0	145	89
SAN DIEGO	289	0	n/a	n/a	152	104	406	45	0	590	0	217
SAN FRANCISCO	239	n/a	n/a	200	15	8	22	n/a	n/a	107	87	
SAN JOSE	155	n/a	n/a	323	76	58	34	0	75	170	63	41
SANTA BARBARA												
NASHVILLE AREA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,688	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,909	1,184	84	39
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76	n/a	111	n/a	87	58
NEW YORK CITY												
NAVAJO AREA	1,965	n/a	n/a	n/a	843	236	93	n/a	n/a	n/a	594	425
FLAGSTAFF												
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA	629	n/a	0	339	630	305	28	0	n/a	91	227	136
DALLAS	373	152	0	303	0	125	77	0	0	0	283	203
WICHITA												
PHOENIX AREA	530	47	1,548	124	651	784	139	81	54	122	255	160
PHOENIX	1,148	n/a	n/a	n/a	704	12	n/a	218	721	577	202	119
RENO	3,156	28	n/a	n/a	704	0	335	79	0	79	n/a	2,965
SALT LAKE CITY								n/a	7,893	n/a		2,018
PORTLAND AREA	112	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	311	173	0	n/a	n/a	80	60
PORTLAND	266	n/a	873	264	0	335	79	0	0	107	76	
SEATTLE												
TUCSON AREA	669	n/a	n/a	n/a	670	632	250	6,178	448	0	642	365
TUCSON		205	594	217	220	643	100	344	567	159	253	179
TOTAL	338	205										

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 11.3 AVERAGE NUMBER OF ENCOUNTERS PER FULL TIME EQUIVALENT

	MEDICAL	OB/GYN PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	w/o
ABERDEEN AREA											
LINCOLN	1,780	350	4,500	n/a	1,017 n/a	0	254 n/a	550 n/a	0	925	
PIERRE	1,464	n/a	1,000	n/a	1,385 266	205 n/a	351 n/a	1,290 n/a	0	2,328	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA											
ALBUQUERQUE	551	n/a	n/a	n/a	171	540	n/a	901 n/a	0	n/a	
DENVER	1,483	n/a	1,000	n/a	n/a	806 249	0	869 n/a	0	1,096 973	
BEMIDJI AREA											
CHICAGO	686	n/a	1,528	n/a	n/a	249	n/a	1,156 167	0	n/a	
DETROIT	549	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	166	n/a	70 n/a	n/a	30	
GREEN BAY	249	564	n/a	n/a	685	570	n/a	827 n/a	0	0	
MILWAUKEE											
MINNEAPOLIS	795	n/a	3,564								
BILLINGS AREA											
BILLINGS	7,927	n/a	8,910	n/a	n/a	475	n/a	1,750 n/a	3,194 n/a	0	n/a
BUTTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	403 628	0	0	n/a
GREAT FALLS	1,519	n/a	6,000	0	n/a	0	0	1,046 3,800	n/a	0	5,280
HELENA	1,566	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	1,057 n/a	n/a	0	564	n/a
MISSOULA	n/a										
CALIFORNIA AREA											
BAKERSFIELD	600	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100	n/a	n/a	n/a	350	700
FRESNO	682	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	693	n/a	975 1,666	0	0	2,605
LOS ANGELES	2,155	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	602	0	n/a	n/a	628	n/a
SACRAMENTO	907	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	672	n/a	594 416	525	0	n/a
SAN DIEGO	830	122	n/a	n/a	524	386	0	511 0	0	0	871
SAN FRANCISCO	544	n/a	n/a	n/a	608	160	0	354 n/a	n/a	0	n/a
SAN JOSE	668	n/a	n/a	n/a	965	1,295	0	148 0	0	0	2,324
SANTA BARBARA	597	n/a									
NASHVILLE AREA											
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,688	n/a	n/a	n/a	305	1,184
NEW YORK CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,008 n/a	n/a	0	0	n/a
NAVAJO AREA											
FLAGSTAFF	2,800	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,373	0	1,030	n/a	n/a	n/a
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA											
DALLAS	1,450	n/a	5,034	671	607	0	85 n/a	949 n/a	n/a	186	
WICHITA	1,180	1,361	0	518	0	0	414 n/a	0	141	211	
PHOENIX AREA											
PHOENIX	776	430	5,686	344	1,057	56	762	1,116	0	256	
RENO	1,148	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	578	n/a	218 349	0	577	
SALT LAKE CITY	7,781	384	n/a	1,292	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	n/a	
PORTLAND AREA											
PORTLAND	508	n/a	n/a	8,161	633	16,050 262	0	515 11,028	0	n/a	6,446
SEATTLE	995	n/a	5,064	n/a	n/a	670 #REF!	#REF!	6,178	448	179	
TUCSON AREA											
TUCSON	660	n/a	569	446	647	607	133	133	866		
TOTAL	977										

12. COST EFFICIENCY AND ANALYSIS

Cost efficiency was examined as the average cost per encounter by service category and as the average cost per user by service category. The costs in the categories of medical, lab (medical), and medical x-ray are all based on the number of medical encounters, or users, as the case may be.

TABLE 12.1 AVERAGE COST PER ENCOUNTER BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM:

PROGRAM: This table presents the average cost per encounter for each service types for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. Each service type is calculated by dividing the total allocated cost for each health care service type by the number of encounters in the corresponding service type.

TABLE 12.2 AVERAGE COST PER SERVICE USER BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM:

PROGRAM: This table presents the average cost per service user for each service type for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. Each cost is calculated by dividing the total allocated costs for each service type by the number of service users in the corresponding service type.

TABLE 12.3 PERCENTAGE OF FUNCTIONAL COST BY SERVICE TYPE BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM:

PROGRAM: This table presents the percentage of functional cost attributed to each service type for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. This number is calculated by dividing the total allocated costs for each service type by the total health care costs for each Urban Indian Health Program.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 12.1 AVERAGE COST PER ENCOUNTER BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	INPATIENT	PREGNATAL	LAB	IMAGING	PHARMACY	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLED SERV	
ABERDEEN AREA														
LINCOLN	\$65.21	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	\$9.66	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	\$2.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$39.55	\$	
PIERRE	\$38.50	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	\$6.50	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	\$403.82	\$37.24	\$112.55	\$		
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	\$83.45	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	\$58.57	\$10.97	\$114.49	\$0.00	\$139.03	
ALBUQUERQUE	\$86.64	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$22.38	\$250.89	n/a	\$212.69	\$54.40	\$128.01	\$	
BEMIDJI AREA														
CHICAGO	\$112.28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$210.55	\$29.72	n/a	\$75.40	n/a	\$62.13	\$	
DENVER	\$116.56	\$0.00	\$36.47	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$105.86	\$82.13	\$68.19	\$75.88	\$58.03	\$19.50	\$	
DETROIT	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$2.01	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	\$140.36	n/a	\$148.59	\$967.97	\$213.76	\$	
GREEN BAY	\$215.66	\$0.00	\$21.75	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	\$93.52	n/a	\$257.43	n/a	n/a	\$1,16	
MILWAUKEE	\$117.48	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$133.64	\$148.51	n/a	\$116.19	n/a	n/a	\$	
BILLINGS AREA														
BILLINGS	\$13.62	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	\$201.33	n/a	\$21.59	n/a	\$24.01	\$122.18	\$18.98	
BUTTE	\$83.59	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	\$158.52	\$0.00	\$38.19	\$	
HELENA	\$66.63	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$10.93	n/a	n/a	\$34.44	n/a	n/a	\$	
MISSOULA	\$43.38	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	\$45.01	\$2,716.00	n/a	\$88.62	\$173.61	\$52.05	\$
CALIFORNIA AREA														
BAKERSFIELD	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	
FRESNO	\$103.27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$27.66	\$323.65	n/a	\$31,142.93	\$84.50	\$2,047.52	\$51,973.29	
LOS ANGELES	\$76.56	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$135.43	n/a	\$119.48	\$251.31	\$7,919.77	\$		
SACRAMENTO	\$89.94	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$154.37	n/a	n/a	\$644.15	n/a	\$118.39	\$	
SAN DIEGO	\$221.79	n/a	n/a	\$451.12	n/a	n/a	\$151.55	\$185.15	\$489.48	\$182.30	n/a	\$56.03	\$	
SAN FRANCISCO	\$188.12	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$178.16	\$474.36	\$1,055.42	\$186.66	n/a	n/a	\$	
SAN JOSE	\$134.84	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$419.26	\$35.20	\$548.70	\$309.10	n/a	\$74.36	\$	
SANTA BARBARA	\$226.78	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$	
NASHVILLE AREA														
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$97.12	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$59.75	
NEW YORK CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$68.95	n/a	n/a	\$65.37	
NAVAJO AREA														
FLAGSTAFF	\$64.29	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$39.43	\$176.45	\$34.37	n/a	n/a	
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA														
DALLAS	\$98.51	n/a	n/a	\$11.61	n/a	n/a	\$13.16	\$147.53	\$144.88	\$191.70	\$1,352.17	\$30.84	n/a	
WICHITA	\$109.05	\$0.00	\$1.04	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$156.94	n/a	\$145.23	\$127.59	n/a	n/a	\$5	
PHOENIX AREA														
PHOENIX	\$306.30	n/a	\$7.26	\$21.47	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$147.75	\$64.33	\$22.09	\$90.17	\$61.64	\$315.04	\$	
RENO	\$190.72	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$286.67	\$141.30	n/a	\$343.89	n/a	n/a	\$1	
SALT LAKE CITY	\$93.79	\$0.00	\$333.37	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$182.37	n/a	n/a	\$	
PORTLAND AREA														
PORTLAND	\$112.58	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	n/a	\$9.46	\$308.35	\$134.71	n/a	n/a	
SEATTLE	\$114.63	\$0.00	\$14.00	n/a	\$19.20	n/a	\$160.58	\$159.89	\$87.44	\$131.35	n/a	\$299.00	\$	
TUCSON AREA														
TUCSON	\$111.41	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$108.17	\$116.17	\$780.27	\$10.68	n/a	n/a	
TOTAL	\$105.87	\$0.00	\$48.77	\$25.23	\$38.32	\$43.33	\$155.59	\$106.10	\$52.27	\$115.47	\$129.16	\$103.14	\$	

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 12.2 AVERAGE COST PER SERVICE USER BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	INPATIENT	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	ANCILLARY SERVICES	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA	\$324.70	\$0.00	\$0.00 n/a	\$0.00 n/a	n/a	\$2.82 n/a	\$0.00 n/a	\$0.00 \$452.58	\$0.00 \$1,921.60	\$170.57 \$166.29	\$0.00 n/a	\$113.67 \$284.33
LINCOLN												
PIERRE												
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	\$156.08	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$145.23	\$74.18	\$332.02	n/a	\$854.87 \$2,767.54	\$65.58	\$164.23 \$417.40	n/a n/a
ALBUQUERQUE												
DENVER												
BEMIDJI AREA	\$326.36	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$210.55	n/a	\$58.59 \$224.19	\$0.00 \$225.46	\$456.27 \$312.09	n/a \$156.78	\$176.85 \$85.81	\$109.55 \$200.04
CHICAGO												
DETROIT												
GREEN BAY												
MILWAUKEE												
MINNEAPOLIS												
BILLINGS AREA	\$51.81	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$187.07	n/a	\$115.09 \$1,303.72	n/a	\$139.08 \$2,782.47	\$0.00 n/a
BILLINGS												
BUTTE												
GREAT FALLS												
HELENA												
MISSOULA												
CALIFORNIA AREA	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	\$301.21	n/a	\$116.66	\$109.61 \$175.45	n/a n/a	\$78.08 \$284.66	\$60.67 n/a
BAKERSFIELD												
FRESNO												
LOS ANGELES												
SACRAMENTO												
SAN DIEGO												
SAN FRANCISCO												
SAN JOSE												
SANTA BARBARA												
NASHVILLE AREA	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$295.93	\$0.00 \$2,047.52	\$0.00 \$66,147.82	\$0.00 n/a
BOSTON												
NEW YORK CITY												
NAVAJO AREA	\$91.61	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$64.23	\$448.59	\$382.46	n/a	n/a	\$169.71 \$85.54
FLAGSTAFF												
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA	\$227.18	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$291.44	\$139.62	\$214.96	\$4,173.09	n/a	n/a	\$837.03 n/a	\$154.52
DALLAS												
WICHITA												
PHOENIX AREA	\$448.48	\$0.00	\$4.03	\$72.66	\$410.45	\$104.45	\$131.38	\$495.39	\$853.47 \$343.89	n/a n/a	\$737.25 \$92.40	\$131.84 \$101.76
PHOENIX												
RENO												
SALT LAKE CITY												
PORTLAND AREA	\$508.77	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$108.17	\$116.17	\$780.27	\$10.68	\$86.48	n/a
PORTLAND												
SEATTLE												
TUCSON AREA	\$109.91	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$215.18	\$102.72	\$750.08	\$227.93	\$121.07	\$294.50
TUCSON												
TOTAL	\$306.31	\$0.00	\$21.96	\$308.79	\$407.58	\$215.18	\$102.72	\$750.08	\$227.93	\$121.07	\$294.50	\$317.72

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 12.3 PERCENTAGE OF FUNCTIONAL COST BY SERVICE TYPE BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM

	MEDICAL	INPATIENT	OB/GYN/ PRENATAL	LAB	IMAGING	PHARMACY	DENTAL	HEALTH EDUCATION	NUTRITION	BEHAVIORAL	ALLIED HEALTH	COMMUNITY SERVICE	ENABLING SERVICES	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA														
LINCOLN	94%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	100%
PIERRE	46%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	32%	1%	7%	9%	100%	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA														
ALBUQUERQUE	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	10%	33%	14%	0%	100%
DENVER	10%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	13%	0%	45%	1%	27%	0%	100%
BEMIDJI AREA														
CHICAGO	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	1%	0%	30%	0%	24%	5%
DETROIT	28%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	14%	3%	31%	7%	5%	100%
GREEN BAY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	37%	9%	53%	0%
MILWAUKEE	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	10%	0%	1%	100%
MINNEAPOLIS	34%	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	18%	9%	0%	10%	8%	3%	8%	100%
BILLINGS AREA														
BILLINGS	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	19%	23%	32%	0%
BUTTE	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	30%	0%	63%	0%
GREAT FALLS	88%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	100%
HELENA	42%	0%	0%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	1%	1%	24%	2%	6%	100%
MISSOULA	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	51%	2%	41%	0%
CALIFORNIA AREA														
BAKERSFIELD	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
FRESNO	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	13%	22%	100%
LOS ANGELES	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	2%	31%	1%	34%	100%
SACRAMENTO	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%	0%	12%	16%	14%	100%
SAN DIEGO	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	30%	9%	8%	100%
SAN FRANCISCO	31%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	23%	11%	8%	15%	0%	4%	100%
SAN JOSE	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	19%	16%	15%	0%	0%	100%
SANTA BARBARA	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	5%	7%	13%	18%	16%	100%
NASHVILLE AREA														
BOSTON	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	0%	56%	26%
NEW YORK CITY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	0%
NAVAJO AREA														
FLAGSTAFF	58%	0%	0%	3%	0%	4%	0%	3%	8%	22%	0%	0%	0%	100%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA														
DALLAS	28%	0%	0%	1%	0%	4%	10%	3%	4%	14%	2%	4%	30%	100%
WICHITA	44%	0%	6%	0%	29%	7%	0%	1%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	100%
PHOENIX AREA														
PHOENIX	24%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	15%	2%	18%	8%	16%	11%	100%
RENO	45%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	0%	20%	12%	100%
SALT LAKE CITY	19%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	26%	0%	16%	18%	0%	100%
PORTLAND AREA														
PORTLAND	58%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	9%	12%	20%	0%	0%	100%
SEATTLE	44%	0%	4%	0%	15%	12%	1%	3%	11%	1%	8%	8%	1%	100%
TUCSON AREA														
TUCSON	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	9%	53%	7%	8%	100%
TOTAL	34%	0%	1%	2%	0%	6%	12%	6%	5%	16%	5%	11%	4%	100%

13. HOSPITALIZATION

Hospitalization is reported as the number of hospital admissions by Urban Indian Health Program physicians and midlevel practitioners (where allowed), categorized by the type of inpatient service the patient was admitted under, as well as the number of hospital inpatient encounters by physicians and midlevel practitioners.

TABLE 13.1 HOSPITAL PATIENT ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF SERVICE BY URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM: This table presents the number of hospital admissions for each inpatient service, by each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 13.2 HOSPITAL INPATIENT ENCOUNTERS BY TYPE OF SERVICE FOR EACH URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM: This table presents the number of hospital encounters by Urban Indian Health Program staff physicians and midlevel providers by service of admission for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. This does not include non-staff inpatient encounters and admissions.

Type of inpatient services includes the following:

Pediatrics: The clinical service concerned with the diagnosis and nonsurgical treatment of children.

Internal Medicine: The clinical service concerned with the diagnosis and nonsurgical treatment of adults.

Obstetrics: The clinical service concerned with the care and treatment of women during pregnancy, childbirth and postpartum period; does not include gynecology.

Other: All clinical services other than Pediatrics, Internal Medicine or Obstetrics.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 13.1 HOSPITAL PATIENT ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF SERVICE
FOR EACH URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM

	PEDIATRIC	INTERNAL MEDICINE	OBSTETRICS	OTHER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA					
LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	0
PIERRE	0	0	0	0	0
ALBUQUERQUE AREA					
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0
BEMIDJI AREA					
CHICAGO	0	0	0	0	0
DETROIT	4	27	1	0	32
GREEN BAY	0	0	0	0	0
MILWAUKEE	1	1	0	0	2
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0
BILLINGS AREA					
BILLINGS	0	0	0	0	0
BUTTE	0	0	0	0	0
GREAT FALLS	0	0	0	0	0
HELENA	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOULA	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA AREA					
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0
FRESNO	0	0	0	0	0
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0
SACRAMENTO	2	83	0	0	85
SAN DIEGO	0	0	0	0	0
SAN FRANSICO	0	0	0	0	0
SAN JOSE	0	0	0	0	0
SANTA BARBARA	0	0	0	0	0
NASHVILLE AREA					
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK CITY	0	0	0	0	0
NAVAJO AREA					
FLAGSTAFF	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA					
DALLAS	0	0	0	0	0
WICHITA	0	0	0	0	0
PHOENIX AREA					
PHOENIX	0	0	0	0	0
RENO	0	0	0	0	0
SALT LAKE CITY	3	19	9	0	31
PORTLAND AREA					
PORTLAND	0	0	0	0	0
SEATTLE	6	34	100	37	177
TUCSON AREA					
TUCSON	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	16	164	110	37	327

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 13.2 HOSPITAL INPATIENT ENCOUNTERS BY TYPE OF SERVICE
FOR EACH URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM

	PEDIATRIC	INTERNAL MEDICINE	OBSTETRICS	OTHER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA					
LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	0
PIERRE	0	0	0	0	0
ALBUQUERQUE AREA					
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0
BEMIDJI AREA					
CHICAGO	0	0	0	0	0
DETROIT	0	5	0	0	5
GREEN BAY	0	0	0	0	0
MILWAUKEE	2	34	0	0	36
MINNEAPOLIS	33	0	0	0	33
BILLINGS AREA					
BILLINGS	0	0	0	0	0
BUTTE	0	0	0	0	0
GREAT FALLS	0	0	0	0	0
HELENA	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOULA	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA AREA					
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0
FRESNO	0	0	0	0	0
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0
SACRAMENTO	3	420	0	3	426
SAN DIEGO	0	0	0	0	0
SAN FRANSICO	0	0	0	0	0
SAN JOSE	0	0	0	0	0
SANTA BARBARA	0	0	0	0	0
NASHVILLE AREA					
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK CITY	0	0	0	0	0
NAVAJO AREA					
FLAGSTAFF	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA					
DALLAS	0	0	0	0	0
WICHITA	118	0	0	0	118
PHOENIX AREA					
PHOENIX	0	0	0	0	0
RENO	0	0	0	0	0
SALT LAKE CITY	22	41	38	0	101
PORTLAND AREA					
PORLTAND	0	0	0	0	0
SEATTLE	92	162	392	704	1,350
TUCSON AREA					
TUCSON	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	270	662	430	707	2,069

14. CLINICAL SERVICES INDICATORS

Compliance with clinical service indicators is measured as the percentage of records sampled which met the UCRR criteria for each of the clinical service indicators. These current clinical service indicators are:

- a) Immunization (24-27 months)
- b) Immunization (6 year olds)
- c) Family Planning Counseling (under 20 years old)
- d) Pap Smear Follow-up
- e) Hypertension Follow-up (10 years and over)
- f) Anemia Screening (24-27 months)
- g) Diabetes Follow-up

TABLE 14.1 SAMPLED SELECTED CLINICAL INDICATORS IN COMPLIANCE: This table presents the percentage of sampled records that are in compliance with UCRR criteria. Records sampled are those records selected from the project's file of 1999 active patients. Active patients are defined as those who have at least one medical encounter 24 months prior to the audit.

Immunization: Successful provision of a series of immunization to children indicates a grantee's commitment to preventive health services for children and its implementation of a successful tracking system. Normal standards for immunization is 90 percent of children ages 24-27 months

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 14.1 SAMPLED SELECTED CLINICAL INDICATORS IN COMPLIANCE

	IMMUNIZATION (24-27 MONTHS)	IMMUNIZATION (6 YEARS OLD)	IMMUNIZATION (ADULT)	FAMILY PLANNING COUNSELING (UNDER 20 YEARS)	PAP SMEAR FOLLOW-UP	HYPERTENSION FOLLOW-UP (10 YEARS AND OVER)	ANEMIA SCREENING	DIABETES FOLLOW-UP
ABERDEEN AREA								
LINCOLN	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
PIERRE	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA								
ALBUQUERQUE	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%
DENVER	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
BEMIDJI AREA								
CHICAGO	90%	90%	60%	75%	100%	50%	100%	100%
DETROIT	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
GREEN BAY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MILWAUKEE	88%	93%	70%	90%	100%	86%	72%	100%
MINNEAPOLIS	100%	100%	68%	100%	100%	90%	92%	64%
BILLINGS AREA								
BILLINGS	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	100%
BUTTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GREAT FALLS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HELENA	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MISSOULA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CALIFORNIA AREA								
BAKERSFIELD	80%	50%	40%	90%	n/a	75%	n/a	75%
FRESNO	94%	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	75%
LOS ANGELES	100%	100%	50%	n/a	25%	100%	n/a	100%
SACRAMENTO	100%	100%	100%	90%	90%	90%	100%	95%
SAN DIEGO	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	100%	100%	100%
SAN FRANSICO	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SAN JOSE	95%	100%	60%	55%	95%	75%	85%	90%
SANTA BARBARA	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
NASHVILLE AREA								
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NEW YORK CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NAVAJO AREA								
FLAGSTAFF	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA								
DALLAS	95%	90%	75%	85%	95%	90%	n/a	95%
WICHITA	100%	95%	n/a	90%	95%	100%	83%	100%
PHOENIX AREA								
PHOENIX	70%	75%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a
RENO	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	n/a	100%
SALT LAKE CITY	100%	100%	15%	60%	8%	86%	67%	90%
PORTLAND AREA								
PORLTAND	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SEATTLE	90%	100%	76%	90%	100%	n/a	100%	96%
TUCSON AREA								
TUCSON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TOTAL	94%	94%	83%	93%	93%	92%	89%	93%

15. DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

Demographic analysis provides age and gender distributions for unduplicated project users by number and percent. These tables can be used for planning, service and staffing predictions. These tables can also be used to compare project user age groups with urbanized area age groups and see to what extent and which age group is making the most use of the health program

TABLE 15.1 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALL PROJECT USERS: This table presents the number of project users by age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 15.2 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN PROJECT USERS: This table presents the number of Indian project users by age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 15.3 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN MALE PROJECT USERS: This table presents the number of male project users by age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 15.4 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN FEMALE PROJECT USERS: This table presents the number of female project users by age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 15.5 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALL USERS: This table presents the percentage of total project users in each age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 15.6 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN PROJECT USERS: This table presents the percentage of Indian project users in each age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 15.7 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION FOR MALE USERS: This table presents the percentage of male project users in each age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 15.8 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF FEMALE USERS: This table presents the percentage of female project users in each age interval for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.1. AGE DISTRIBUTION OF USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA													
LINCOLN	37	113	135	382	148	776	1,003	1,431	751	349	65	9	5,199
PIERRE	50	125	138	211	298	716	260	477	252	22	51	3	2,603
ALBUQUERQUE AREA													
ALBUQUERQUE	270	4,758	1,627	648	922	1,568	2,644	2,347	663	256	183	73	15,979
DENVER	17	38	33	69	62	56	142	135	106	34	52	19	763
BEMIDJI AREA													
CHICAGO	41	90	214	210	171	315	402	462	591	340	104	40	2,980
DETROIT	12	68	110	112	67	75	269	226	159	56	45	15	1,214
GREEN BAY	1	5	9	16	51	79	119	79	37	17	17	18	448
MILWAUKEE	28	93	95	80	100	174	180	95	66	40	13	13	1,058
MINNEAPOLIS	277	858	802	694	639	678	1,284	1,110	783	373	152	55	7,705
BILLINGS AREA													
BILLINGS	130	253	244	357	558	460	900	905	523	501	322	0	5,153
BUTTE	8	35	77	51	51	40	91	117	47	30	29	5	581
GREAT FALLS	12	84	92	140	205	624	0	769	942	0	0	0	274
HELENA	127	64	72	140	132	211	187	221	321	345	30	2	1,852
MISSOULA	3	20	49	81	103	114	180	234	66	65	9	0	924
CALIFORNIA AREA													
BAKERSFIELD	15	26	45	48	37	36	71	72	40	18	5	0	413
FRESNO	6	21	49	97	66	62	86	88	48	18	16	9	566
LOS ANGELES	11	59	59	49	47	60	126	113	72	40	25	11	672
SACRAMENTO	145	678	1,457	1,335	782	681	679	912	475	446	215	5	7,810
SAN DIEGO	24	65	75	109	111	139	243	258	208	94	39	14	1,379
SAN FRANCISCO	1,393	2,255	762	2,175	1,185	2,105	1,320	1,604	1,200	664	257	58	14,978
SAN JOSE	132	481	419	392	269	209	422	386	185	183	94	86	3,258
SANTA BARBARA	2	39	55	67	56	50	86	129	99	50	42	28	703
NASHVILLE AREA													
BOSTON	3	2	23	30	18	23	43	52	35	34	14	3	280
NEW YORK CITY	2	6	17	8	16	45	158	156	109	46	20	12	594
NAVAJO AREA													
FLAGSTAFF	91	310	353	495	556	413	697	513	323	154	83	51	4,039
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA													
DALLAS	112	236	188	196	420	494	565	911	493	502	71	56	4,244
WICHITA	442	775	823	529	807	1,303	2,288	2,325	1,308	713	300	136	11,749
PHOENIX AREA													
PHOENIX	1,713	2,621	769	723	1,280	1,276	1,729	1,013	344	239	140	119	11,966
RENO	18	24	11	27	35	25	174	129	104	28	426	7	1,008
SALT LAKE CITY	469	1,442	2,704	3,856	2,865	2,185	2,406	2,047	1,017	285	235	61	19,572
PORTLAND AREA													
PORTLAND	21	141	113	106	114	148	325	326	194	108	42	23	1,660
SEATTLE	280	569	406	410	479	597	1,237	1,312	886	408	190	87	6,861
TUCSON AREA													
TUCSON	109	430	149	234	335	1,317	0	856	918	0	0	307	4,655
TOTAL	6,001	16,784	12,174	14,092	12,965	17,000	20,310	21,894	13,394	6,482	3,313	1,599	146,008

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.2 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA	15	56	53	154	55	287	392	417	216	136	23	2	1,806
LINCOLN	47	111	123	173	269	649	199	432	230	17	50	2	2,302
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	232	4,026	1,360	466	635	1,330	2,028	1,922	523	197	176	69	12,964
DENVER	17	38	33	69	60	52	139	131	102	34	50	19	744
BEMIDJI AREA													
CHICAGO	41	75	214	208	171	308	398	456	580	337	104	40	2,932
DETROIT	12	56	89	112	63	68	197	193	134	51	43	15	1,033
GREEN BAY	1	5	9	16	25	35	69	46	29	15	16	14	280
MILWAUKEE	27	92	94	91	78	95	168	175	91	60	37	11	1,019
MINNEAPOLIS	147	611	659	555	503	445	778	756	552	270	103	34	5,413
BILLINGS AREA	130	253	244	367	558	460	900	905	523	501	322	0	5,153
BUTTE	8	35	77	49	51	40	84	111	41	30	28	5	559
GREAT FALLS	12	84	92	140	205	624	0	769	942	0	0	274	3,142
HELENA	103	55	60	112	107	170	154	202	242	266	27	2	1,500
MISSOULA	3	20	49	81	103	114	180	234	66	65	9	0	924
CALIFORNIA AREA													
BAKERSFIELD	15	26	45	48	37	36	71	72	40	18	5	0	413
FRESNO	6	20	45	92	57	51	68	76	44	17	16	9	501
LOS ANGELES	11	59	59	49	47	60	126	113	72	40	25	11	672
SACRAMENTO	127	603	1,356	1,222	674	530	540	622	300	275	73	5	6,327
SAN DIEGO	24	58	63	84	95	121	200	220	171	73	30	8	1,147
SAN FRANCISCO	138	272	371	1,424	670	502	647	667	545	314	150	29	5,729
SAN JOSE	33	121	104	98	68	52	106	96	46	46	24	21	815
SANTA BARBARA	2	39	54	67	56	48	83	120	91	49	38	27	674
NASHVILLE AREA													
BOSTON	3	2	23	30	18	23	43	52	35	34	14	3	280
NEW YORK CITY	2	6	17	8	16	43	151	150	102	43	20	12	570
NAVAJO AREA													
FLAGSTAFF	91	310	353	495	555	413	695	513	322	154	82	51	4,034
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA													
DALLAS	91	204	174	177	393	483	547	896	480	489	70	53	4,057
WICHITA	36	53	90	66	86	111	197	229	114	70	31	26	1,109
PHOENIX AREA													
PHOENIX	1,428	2,155	656	687	1,169	1,138	1,469	879	280	204	132	116	10,313
RENO	15	22	11	27	35	22	149	112	97	28	426	7	951
SALT LAKE CITY	276	830	1,565	2,251	1,676	1,269	1,387	1,184	589	164	129	34	11,354
PORTLAND AREA													
PORTLAND	21	141	113	106	114	148	325	325	194	108	42	23	1,660
SEATTLE	174	319	289	318	329	359	729	776	508	257	130	58	4,246
TUCSON AREA													
TUCSON	109	430	149	234	335	1,317	0	856	918	0	0	307	4,655
TOTAL	3,397	11,187	8,693	10,066	9,313	11,403	13,219	14,707	9,219	4,362	2,425	1,287	99,278

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.3 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN MALE USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA													
LINCOLN	7	49	41	131	47	184	197	177	81	60	4	0	978
PIERRE	27	57	60	95	135	246	87	201	94	7	21	0	1,030
ALBUQUERQUE AREA													
ALBUQUERQUE	99	1,615	551	186	320	349	425	792	266	123	149	22	4,897
DENVER	10	17	16	37	21	22	51	44	36	12	36	5	307
BEMIDJI AREA													
CHICAGO	19	34	98	97	54	92	150	110	100	143	25	29	951
DETROIT	4	34	32	54	23	21	83	68	38	21	19	4	401
GREEN BAY	0	3	2	6	14	23	45	29	17	3	6	3	151
MILWAUKEE	15	44	54	45	19	28	47	51	31	20	9	2	365
MINNEAPOLIS	73	303	311	252	162	111	261	282	202	107	32	12	2,108
BILLINGS AREA													
BILLINGS	79	109	110	178	245	160	300	335	201	201	68	0	1,986
BUTTE	3	18	40	23	28	17	32	59	22	10	13	1	286
GREAT FALLS	10	39	34	85	77	235	0	311	304	0	0	134	1,229
HELENA	35	18	19	39	36	73	70	22	94	109	6	0	521
MISSOULA	1	5	24	42	59	49	80	113	26	25	2	0	426
CALIFORNIA AREA													
BAKERSFIELD	7	14	21	21	13	14	41	35	21	6	1	0	194
FRESNO	4	10	23	48	27	11	16	15	17	4	3	0	178
LOS ANGELES	8	34	22	32	17	31	57	54	31	21	13	4	324
SACRAMENTO	68	305	627	531	264	115	113	142	90	77	25	0	2,357
SAN DIEGO	12	24	29	37	39	48	68	109	82	30	14	5	497
SAN FRANCISCO	72	128	148	306	224	208	323	322	275	146	110	15	2,277
SAN JOSE	15	55	52	47	25	13	27	28	15	15	7	7	306
SANTA BARBARA	2	22	27	27	24	18	37	55	41	24	11	9	297
NASHVILLE AREA													
BOSTON	2	1	8	16	8	8	14	19	11	11	2	1	101
NEW YORK CITY	1	2	9	3	10	18	81	91	47	14	7	2	285
NAVAJO AREA													
FLAGSTAFF	57	127	161	184	189	137	180	154	72	62	46	27	1,396
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA													
DALLAS	54	72	69	43	128	245	263	349	215	219	29	16	1,702
WICHITA	15	25	41	37	33	42	73	99	56	28	7	5	461
PHOENIX AREA													
PHOENIX	676	1,001	327	308	421	166	319	309	96	68	56	50	3,797
RENO	8	14	5	5	10	7	54	28	7	3	86	7	234
SALT LAKE CITY	147	446	813	1,149	844	632	728	662	311	90	1	18	5,841
PORTLAND AREA													
PORTLAND	11	80	60	58	47	43	109	132	66	41	8	9	664
SEATTLE	96	157	148	153	124	117	299	379	252	115	50	15	1,905
TUCSON AREA													
TUCSON	47	167	65	125	166	413	0	228	109	0	0	32	1,352
TOTAL	1,684	5,029	4,047	4,400	3,853	3,896	4,630	5,804	3,326	1,815	866	434	39,784

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.4 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN FEMALE USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA													
LINCOLN	8	7	12	23	8	103	195	240	135	76	19	2	828
PIERRE	20	54	63	78	134	403	112	231	136	10	29	2	1,272
ALBUQUERQUE AREA													
ALBUQUERQUE	133	2,411	809	280	315	981	1,603	1,130	257	74	27	47	8,067
DENVER	7	21	17	32	39	30	88	87	66	22	14	14	437
BEMIDJI AREA													
CHICAGO	22	41	116	111	117	216	248	346	480	194	79	11	1,981
Detroit	8	22	57	58	40	47	114	125	96	30	24	11	632
GREEN BAY	1	2	7	10	11	12	24	17	12	10	11	11	129
MILWAUKEE	12	48	40	46	59	67	121	124	60	40	28	9	654
MINNEAPOLIS	74	308	348	303	341	334	517	474	350	163	71	22	3,305
BILLINGS AREA													
BILLINGS	51	144	134	179	313	300	600	570	322	300	254	0	3,167
BUTTE	5	17	37	26	23	23	52	52	19	20	15	4	293
GREAT FALLS	2	45	58	55	128	389	0	458	638	0	0	140	1,913
HELENA	68	37	41	73	71	97	84	180	148	157	21	2	979
MISSOULA	2	15	25	39	44	65	100	121	40	40	7	0	498
CALIFORNIA AREA													
BAKERSFIELD	8	12	24	27	24	22	30	37	19	12	4	0	219
FRESNO	2	10	22	44	30	40	52	61	27	13	13	9	323
LOS ANGELES	3	25	37	17	30	29	69	59	41	19	12	7	348
SACRAMENTO	59	298	729	691	410	415	427	480	210	198	48	5	3,970
SAN DIEGO	12	34	34	47	56	73	132	111	89	43	16	3	650
SAN FRANCISCO	66	144	223	1,118	446	294	324	345	270	168	40	14	3,452
SAN JOSE	18	66	52	51	43	39	79	68	31	31	17	14	509
SANTA BARBARA	0	17	27	40	32	30	46	65	50	25	27	18	377
NASHVILLE AREA													
BOSTON	1	1	15	14	10	15	29	33	24	23	12	2	179
NEW YORK CITY	1	4	8	5	6	25	70	59	55	29	13	10	285
NAVAJO AREA													
FLAGSTAFF	34	183	192	311	366	276	515	359	250	92	36	24	2,638
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA													
DALLAS	37	132	105	134	265	238	284	547	265	270	41	37	2,355
WICHITA	21	28	49	29	53	69	124	130	58	42	24	21	648
PHOENIX AREA													
PHOENIX	752	1,154	329	379	748	972	1,150	570	184	136	76	66	6,516
RENO	7	8	6	22	25	15	95	84	90	25	340	0	717
SALT LAKE CITY	129	384	752	1,102	832	637	659	522	278	74	128	16	5,513
PORTLAND AREA													
PORTLAND	10	61	53	48	67	105	216	193	128	67	34	14	996
SEATTLE	78	162	141	165	205	242	430	397	256	142	80	43	2,341
TUCSON AREA													
TUCSON	62	263	84	109	169	904	0	628	809	0	0	275	3,303
TOTAL	1,713	6,158	4,646	5,666	5,460	7,507	8,589	8,903	5,893	2,547	1,559	853	59,494

URBAN INDIAN PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.5 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA													
LINCOLN	1%	2%	3%	7%	3%	15%	19%	28%	14%	7%	1%	0%	100%
PIERRE	2%	5%	5%	11%	28%	10%	18%	10%	1%	2%	0%	0%	100%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA													
ALBUQUERQUE	2%	30%	10%	4%	6%	10%	17%	15%	4%	2%	1%	0%	100%
DENVER	2%	5%	4%	9%	8%	7%	19%	18%	14%	4%	7%	2%	100%
BEMIDJI AREA													
CHICAGO	1%	3%	7%	7%	6%	11%	13%	16%	20%	11%	3%	1%	100%
DETROIT	1%	6%	9%	9%	6%	6%	22%	19%	13%	5%	4%	1%	100%
GREEN BAY	0%	1%	2%	4%	1%	18%	27%	18%	8%	4%	4%	4%	100%
MILWAUKEE	3%	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	16%	17%	9%	6%	4%	1%	100%
MINNEAPOLIS	4%	11%	10%	9%	8%	9%	17%	14%	10%	5%	2%	1%	100%
BILLINGS AREA													
BILLINGS	3%	5%	5%	7%	7%	11%	9%	17%	18%	10%	6%	0%	100%
BUTTE	1%	6%	13%	9%	9%	7%	16%	20%	8%	5%	5%	1%	100%
GREAT FALLS	0%	3%	3%	4%	4%	7%	20%	0%	24%	0%	0%	9%	100%
HELENA	7%	3%	4%	8%	8%	11%	10%	12%	17%	19%	2%	0%	100%
MISSOULA	0%	2%	5%	9%	9%	11%	12%	19%	25%	7%	7%	1%	100%
CALIFORNIA AREA													
BAKERSFIELD	4%	6%	11%	12%	9%	9%	17%	17%	10%	4%	1%	0%	100%
FRESNO	1%	4%	9%	17%	12%	11%	15%	16%	8%	3%	3%	2%	100%
LOS ANGELES	2%	9%	9%	7%	7%	9%	19%	17%	11%	6%	4%	2%	100%
SACRAMENTO	2%	9%	19%	17%	10%	9%	9%	12%	6%	6%	3%	0%	100%
SAN DIEGO	2%	5%	5%	8%	8%	10%	18%	19%	15%	7%	3%	1%	100%
SAN FRANCISCO	9%	15%	5%	15%	8%	14%	9%	11%	8%	4%	2%	0%	100%
SAN JOSE	4%	15%	13%	12%	8%	6%	13%	12%	6%	6%	3%	3%	100%
SANTA BARBARA	0%	6%	8%	10%	8%	7%	12%	18%	14%	7%	6%	4%	100%
NASHVILLE AREA													
BOSTON	1%	1%	8%	11%	6%	8%	15%	19%	13%	12%	5%	1%	100%
NEW YORK CITY	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	27%	26%	18%	8%	3%	2%	100%
NAVAJO AREA													
FLAGSTAFF	2%	8%	9%	12%	14%	10%	17%	13%	8%	4%	2%	1%	100%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA													
DALLAS	3%	6%	4%	5%	10%	12%	13%	21%	12%	12%	2%	1%	100%
WICHITA	4%	7%	7%	5%	7%	11%	19%	20%	11%	6%	3%	1%	100%
PHOENIX AREA													
PHOENIX	14%	22%	6%	6%	11%	11%	14%	8%	3%	2%	1%	1%	100%
RENO	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	17%	13%	10%	3%	42%	1%	100%
SALT LAKE CITY	2%	7%	14%	20%	15%	11%	12%	10%	5%	1%	1%	0%	100%
PORTLAND AREA													
PORTLAND	1%	8%	7%	6%	7%	9%	20%	20%	12%	7%	3%	1%	100%
SEATTLE	4%	8%	6%	6%	7%	9%	18%	19%	13%	6%	3%	1%	100%
TUCSON AREA													
TUCSON	2%	9%	3%	5%	7%	28%	0%	18%	20%	0%	0%	7%	100%
TOTAL	4%	11%	8%	10%	9%	12%	14%	15%	9%	4%	2%	1%	100%

URBAN INDIAN PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.6 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA													
LINCOLN	1%	3%	3%	9%	3%	16%	22%	23%	12%	8%	1%	0%	100%
PIERRE	2%	5%	5%	8%	12%	28%	9%	19%	10%	1%	2%	0%	100%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA													
ALBUQUERQUE	2%	31%	10%	4%	5%	10%	16%	15%	4%	2%	1%	1%	100%
DENVER	2%	5%	4%	9%	8%	7%	19%	18%	14%	5%	7%	3%	100%
BEMIDJI AREA													
CHICAGO	1%	3%	7%	7%	6%	11%	14%	16%	20%	11%	4%	1%	100%
DETROIT	1%	5%	9%	11%	6%	7%	19%	19%	13%	5%	4%	1%	100%
GREEN BAY	0%	2%	3%	6%	9%	13%	25%	16%	10%	5%	6%	5%	100%
MILWAUKEE	3%	9%	9%	8%	9%	8%	16%	17%	9%	6%	4%	1%	100%
MINNEAPOLIS	3%	11%	12%	10%	9%	8%	14%	14%	10%	5%	2%	1%	100%
BILLINGS AREA													
BILLINGS	3%	5%	5%	7%	11%	9%	17%	18%	10%	10%	6%	0%	100%
BUTTE	1%	6%	14%	9%	9%	7%	15%	20%	7%	5%	5%	1%	100%
GREAT FALLS	0%	3%	3%	4%	7%	7%	20%	0%	24%	30%	0%	9%	100%
HELENA	7%	4%	4%	7%	7%	11%	10%	13%	13%	16%	18%	2%	100%
MISSOULA	0%	2%	5%	9%	11%	12%	19%	25%	7%	7%	1%	0%	100%
CALIFORNIA AREA													
BAKERSFIELD	4%	6%	11%	12%	9%	9%	17%	17%	10%	4%	1%	0%	100%
FRESNO	1%	4%	9%	18%	11%	10%	14%	15%	9%	3%	3%	2%	100%
LOS ANGELES	2%	9%	9%	7%	7%	9%	19%	19%	17%	11%	6%	4%	100%
SACRAMENTO	2%	10%	21%	19%	11%	8%	10%	10%	5%	4%	1%	0%	100%
SAN DIEGO	2%	5%	5%	7%	8%	11%	17%	19%	15%	6%	3%	1%	100%
SAN FRANCISCO	2%	5%	6%	25%	12%	9%	11%	12%	10%	5%	3%	1%	100%
SAN JOSE	4%	15%	13%	12%	8%	6%	13%	12%	6%	6%	3%	3%	100%
SANTA BARBARA	0%	6%	8%	10%	8%	7%	12%	18%	14%	7%	6%	4%	100%
NASHVILLE AREA													
BOSTON	1%	1%	8%	11%	6%	8%	15%	19%	13%	12%	5%	1%	100%
NEW YORK CITY	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%	8%	26%	26%	18%	8%	4%	2%	100%
NAVAJO AREA													
FLAGSTAFF													
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA													
DALLAS	2%	5%	4%	4%	10%	12%	13%	22%	12%	12%	2%	1%	100%
WICHITA	3%	5%	8%	6%	8%	10%	18%	21%	10%	6%	3%	2%	100%
PHOENIX AREA													
PHOENIX	14%	21%	6%	7%	11%	11%	14%	9%	3%	2%	1%	1%	100%
RENO	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	16%	12%	10%	3%	45%	1%	100%
SALT LAKE CITY	2%	7%	14%	20%	15%	11%	12%	10%	5%	1%	0%	0%	100%
PORTLAND AREA													
PORTLAND	1%	8%	7%	6%	7%	9%	20%	20%	12%	7%	3%	1%	100%
SEATTLE	4%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	17%	18%	12%	6%	3%	1%	100%
TUCSON AREA													
TUCSON	2%	9%	3%	5%	7%	28%	0%	18%	20%	0%	0%	7%	100%
TOTAL	3%	11%	9%	10%	9%	11%	13%	15%	9%	4%	2%	1%	100%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.7 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN MALE USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA													
LINCOLN	1%	5%	4%	13%	5%	19%	20%	18%	8%	6%	0%	0%	100%
PIERRE	3%	6%	6%	9%	13%	24%	8%	20%	9%	1%	2%	0%	100%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA													
ALBUQUERQUE	2%	33%	11%	4%	7%	7%	9%	16%	5%	3%	3%	0%	100%
DENVER	3%	6%	5%	12%	7%	7%	17%	14%	12%	4%	12%	2%	100%
BEMIDJI AREA													
CHICAGO	2%	4%	10%	6%	10%	16%	12%	11%	15%	3%	3%	3%	100%
DETROIT	1%	8%	8%	13%	6%	5%	21%	17%	9%	5%	5%	1%	100%
GREEN BAY	0%	2%	1%	4%	9%	15%	30%	19%	11%	2%	4%	2%	100%
MILWAUKEE	4%	12%	15%	12%	5%	8%	13%	14%	8%	5%	2%	1%	100%
MINNEAPOLIS	3%	14%	15%	12%	8%	5%	12%	13%	10%	5%	2%	1%	100%
BILLINGS AREA													
BILLINGS	4%	5%	6%	9%	12%	8%	15%	17%	10%	10%	3%	0%	100%
BUTTE	1%	7%	15%	9%	11%	6%	12%	22%	8%	4%	5%	0%	100%
GREAT FALLS	1%	3%	3%	7%	6%	19%	0%	25%	25%	0%	0%	11%	100%
HELENA	7%	3%	4%	7%	7%	14%	13%	4%	18%	21%	1%	0%	100%
MISSOULA	0%	1%	6%	10%	14%	12%	19%	27%	6%	6%	0%	0%	100%
CALIFORNIA AREA													
BAKERSFIELD	4%	7%	11%	11%	7%	7%	21%	18%	11%	3%	1%	0%	100%
FRESNO	2%	6%	13%	27%	15%	6%	9%	8%	10%	2%	2%	0%	100%
LOS ANGELES	2%	10%	7%	10%	5%	10%	18%	17%	10%	6%	4%	1%	100%
SACRAMENTO	3%	13%	27%	23%	11%	5%	5%	6%	4%	3%	1%	0%	100%
SAN DIEGO	2%	5%	6%	7%	8%	10%	14%	22%	16%	6%	3%	1%	100%
SAN FRANCISCO	3%	6%	6%	13%	10%	9%	14%	12%	6%	5%	1%	1%	100%
SAN JOSE	5%	18%	17%	15%	8%	4%	9%	9%	5%	2%	2%	0%	100%
SANTA BARBARA	1%	7%	9%	9%	8%	6%	12%	19%	14%	8%	4%	3%	100%
NASHVILLE AREA													
BOSTON	2%	1%	8%	16%	8%	8%	14%	19%	11%	11%	2%	1%	100%
NEW YORK CITY	0%	1%	3%	1%	4%	6%	28%	32%	16%	5%	2%	1%	100%
NAVAJO AREA													
FLAGSTAFF	4%	9%	12%	13%	14%	10%	13%	11%	5%	4%	3%	2%	100%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA													
DALLAS	3%	4%	4%	3%	8%	14%	15%	21%	13%	13%	2%	1%	100%
WICHITA	3%	5%	9%	8%	7%	9%	16%	21%	12%	6%	2%	1%	100%
PHOENIX AREA													
PHOENIX	18%	26%	9%	8%	11%	4%	8%	8%	3%	2%	1%	1%	100%
RENO	3%	6%	2%	2%	4%	3%	23%	12%	3%	1%	37%	3%	100%
SALT LAKE CITY	3%	8%	14%	20%	14%	11%	12%	11%	5%	2%	0%	0%	100%
PORTLAND AREA													
PORTLAND	2%	12%	9%	9%	7%	6%	16%	20%	10%	6%	1%	1%	100%
SEATTLE	5%	8%	8%	8%	7%	6%	16%	20%	13%	6%	3%	1%	100%
TUCSON AREA													
TUCSON	3%	12%	5%	9%	12%	31%	0%	17%	8%	0%	0%	2%	100%
TOTAL	4%	13%	10%	11%	10%	12%	15%	8%	5%	2%	1%	1%	100%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 15.8 PERCENTAGE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF INDIAN FEMALE USERS

	< THAN 1	1-4 YEARS	5-9 YEARS	10-14 YEARS	15-19 YEARS	20-24 YEARS	25-34 YEARS	35-44 YEARS	45-54 YEARS	55-64 YEARS	65-74 YEARS	75 AND OVER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	12%	24%	16%	9%	2%	0%	0%	100%
LINCOLN													
PIERRE	2%	4%	5%	6%	11%	32%	9%	18%	11%	1%	2%	0%	100%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA	2%	30%	10%	3%	4%	12%	20%	14%	3%	1%	0%	1%	100%
ALBUQUERQUE													
DENVER	2%	5%	4%	7%	9%	7%	20%	15%	5%	3%	3%	3%	100%
BEMIDJI AREA	1%	2%	6%	6%	6%	11%	13%	17%	24%	10%	4%	1%	100%
CHICAGO													
DETROIT	1%	3%	9%	6%	6%	7%	18%	20%	15%	5%	4%	2%	100%
GREEN BAY	1%	2%	5%	8%	9%	9%	19%	13%	9%	9%	8%	9%	100%
MILWAUKEE	2%	7%	6%	7%	9%	10%	19%	19%	9%	6%	4%	1%	100%
MINNEAPOLIS	2%	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	16%	14%	11%	5%	2%	1%	100%
BILLINGS AREA	2%	5%	4%	6%	6%	10%	9%	19%	18%	10%	9%	8%	100%
BILLINGS													
BUTTE	2%	6%	13%	9%	8%	8%	18%	18%	6%	7%	5%	1%	100%
GREAT FALLS	0%	2%	3%	3%	7%	2%	0%	24%	33%	0%	0%	7%	100%
HELENA	7%	4%	4%	7%	7%	7%	10%	9%	18%	15%	2%	0%	100%
MISSOULA	0%	3%	5%	8%	9%	9%	13%	20%	24%	8%	8%	1%	100%
CALIFORNIA AREA	4%	5%	11%	12%	11%	10%	12%	17%	9%	9%	2%	0%	100%
BAKERSFIELD													
FRESNO	1%	3%	7%	14%	9%	12%	16%	19%	8%	4%	4%	3%	100%
LOS ANGELES	1%	7%	11%	5%	9%	8%	20%	17%	12%	5%	3%	2%	100%
SACRAMENTO	1%	8%	18%	17%	10%	10%	11%	12%	5%	5%	1%	0%	100%
SAN DIEGO	2%	5%	5%	7%	9%	11%	20%	17%	14%	7%	2%	0%	100%
SAN FRANCISCO	2%	4%	6%	32%	13%	9%	9%	10%	8%	5%	1%	0%	100%
SAN JOSE	4%	13%	10%	10%	8%	8%	16%	13%	6%	6%	3%	3%	100%
SANTA BARBARA	0%	5%	7%	11%	8%	8%	12%	17%	13%	7%	7%	5%	100%
NASHVILLE AREA	1%	1%	8%	8%	6%	8%	16%	18%	13%	13%	7%	1%	100%
BOSTON													
NEW YORK CITY	0%	1%	3%	2%	2%	9%	25%	21%	19%	10%	5%	4%	100%
NAVAJO AREA	1%	7%	7%	12%	14%	10%	20%	14%	9%	3%	1%	1%	100%
FLAGSTAFF													
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA	2%	6%	4%	6%	11%	10%	12%	23%	11%	11%	2%	2%	100%
DALLAS													
WICHITA	3%	4%	8%	4%	8%	11%	19%	20%	9%	6%	4%	3%	100%
PHOENIX AREA	12%	18%	5%	6%	11%	15%	18%	9%	3%	2%	1%	1%	100%
PHOENIX	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	2%	13%	12%	13%	3%	47%	0%	100%
RENO													
SALT LAKE CITY	2%	7%	14%	20%	15%	12%	12%	9%	5%	1%	2%	0%	100%
PORTLAND AREA	1%	6%	5%	5%	7%	1%	22%	19%	13%	7%	3%	1%	100%
PORTLAND													
SEATTLE	3%	7%	6%	7%	9%	10%	18%	17%	11%	6%	3%	2%	100%
TUCSON AREA	2%	8%	3%	3%	5%	27%	0%	19%	24%	0%	0%	8%	100%
TUCSON													
TOTAL	3%	10%	8%	10%	9%	13%	14%	15%	10%	4%	3%	1%	100%

16. COMMUNITY PENETRATION

Community penetration refers to the extent that members of the American Indian/Alaska Native community utilize the Urban Indian Health Programs. Penetration percentages are based on 1990 census data and the 1999 utilization data from Table 9.4. Community penetration is the result of many factors, including the number of years the Urban Indian Health Program has been providing services, the range and types of services available, the amount of funding available, the staffing available, etc.

TABLE 16.1-URBAN INDIAN POPULATION IN URBAN AREA VS. PROJECT USERS:
This table presents the total project users, total urban Indian population and percentage of the urban Indian population served. The percentage Indian population served is calculated by dividing total Indian project users by the total urban Indian population, which is found in 1990 Census figures for urbanized areas (or cities, if not an urbanized area).

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 16.1 URBAN INDIAN POPULATION IN URBAN AREAS
VS PROJECT USERS

	INDIAN USERS	INDIAN POPULATION	POPULATION SERVED
ABERDEEN AREA			
LINCOLN	1,806	3,005	60%
PIERRE	2,302	7,635	30%
ALBUQUERQUE AREA			
ALBUQUERQUE	12,964	13,681	95%
DENVER	744	12,058	6%
BEMIDJI AREA			
CHICAGO	2,932	13,142	22%
DETROIT	1,033	13,708	8%
GREEN BAY	280	2,986	9%
MILWAUKEE	1,019	7,531	14%
MINNEAPOLIS	5,413	22,541	24%
BILLINGS AREA			
BILLINGS	5,153	2,814	183%
BUTTE	559	517	108%
GREAT FALLS	3,142	2,772	113%
HELENA	1,500	572	262%
MISSOULA	924	1,324	70%
CALIFORNIA AREA			
BAKERSFIELD	413	12,000	3%
FRESNO	501	4,896	10%
LOS ANGELES	672	57,624	1%
SACRAMENTO	6,327	12,689	50%
SAN DIEGO	1,147	15,712	7%
SAN FRANSICO	5,729	20,611	28%
SAN JOSE	815	8,847	9%
SANTA BARBARA	674	10,000	7%
NASHVILLE AREA			
BOSTON	280	4,976	6%
NEW YORK CITY	570	42,245	1%
NAVAJO AREA			
FLAGSTAFF	4,034	4,210	96%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA			
DALLAS	4,057	15,529	26%
WICHITA	1,109	4,069	27%
PHOENIX AREA			
PHOENIX	10,313	29,626	35%
RENO	951	3,561	27%
SALT LAKE CITY	11,354	25,000	45%
PORTLAND AREA			
PORTLAND	1,660	10,923	15%
SEATTLE	4,246	18,879	22%
TUCSON AREA			
TUCSON	4,655	10,719	43%
TOTAL	99,278	416,402	24%

17. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Financial management examines the amount of charges, collections and adjustments for services.

TABLE 17.1 CHARGES, COLLECTIONS AND ADJUSTMENTS: This table presents the charges, collections and adjustments for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

Charges: This term refers to the gross amount of charges and premium for all services rendered during the reporting period. Full charges are calculated on a 100% pay basis, prior to any adjustments, for all services provided.

Collections: This term refers to the dollar amount collected during the reporting period, regardless of when the service was provided.

Adjustments: This term refers to the accounting transactions reflecting the difference between the full charges amassed by the project versus the amount that was actually collected and/or the amount expected to be received in the near future (accounts receivable).

TABLE 17.2 ADJUSTMENTS BY TYPE: This table presents the adjustments by type for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999. There are four categories of adjustments:

a) Disallowances and reductions (contractual adjustments): The difference between the project's customary charges and the amount allowed by third party payers for the billed services.

b) sliding payment scale adjustments: Reductions to full charges, or to the amounts transferred to patient fees from third party payers, based upon the project's sliding fee scale.

c) bad debt write off: The amount of net charges (gross charges) less disallowances, reductions and sliding fee scale adjustments which are not expected to be collected.

d) Other: Any other type of adjustment, such as recovery of bad debt, staff discounts, free immunizations, senior discounts, etc.

TABLE 17.3 ADJUSTMENTS AS A PERCENT OF FULL CHARGES: Presents the adjustments as a percentage of full charges by collection source for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

TABLE 17.4 FULL CHARGES BY SOURCE: This table presents the full charges by source for each Urban Indian Health Program for FY 1999.

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 17.1 CHARGES, COLLECTIONS, AND ADJUSTMENTS

	CHARGES	COLLECTIONS	ADJUSTMENTS
ABERDEEN AREA			
LINCOLN	\$50,071	\$170,514	\$0
PIERRE	\$0	\$121,525	\$0
ALBUQUERQUE AREA			
ALBUQUERQUE	\$65,030	\$51,400	\$18,211
DENVER	\$0	\$0	\$0
BEMIDJI AREA			
CHICAGO	\$0	\$73,210	\$0
DETROIT	\$7,487	\$7,487	\$0
GREEN BAY	\$161,977	\$148,801	\$0
MILWAUKEE	\$429,058	\$10,261	\$75,912
MINNEAPOLIS	\$2,172,180	\$1,243,954	\$1,115,210
BILLINGS AREA			
BILLINGS	\$22,498	\$10,359	\$11,036
BUTTE	\$0	\$0	\$0
GREAT FALLS	\$0	\$0	\$0
HELENA	\$70,408	\$62,574	\$29,782
MISSOULA	\$32,402	\$19,895	\$0
CALIFORNIA AREA			
BAKERSFIELD	\$0	\$0	\$0
FRESNO	\$2,037	\$2,037	\$0
LOS ANGELES	\$0	\$0	\$0
SACRAMENTO	\$574,811	\$564,573	\$99,062
SAN DIEGO	\$302,698	\$244,271	\$57,425
SAN FRANSICO	\$1,765,330	\$1,800,673	\$139,918
SAN JOSE	\$970,972	\$614,994	\$209,450
SANTA BARBARA	\$0	\$0	\$0
NASHVILLE AREA			
BOSTON	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW YORK CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0
NAVAJO AREA			
FLAGSTAFF	\$109,561	\$72,601	\$34,117
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA			
DALLAS	\$14,788	\$14,788	\$0
WICHITA	\$3,298,182	\$1,309,891	\$1,935,585
PHOENIX AREA			
PHOENIX	\$263,702	\$227,658	\$80,028
RENO	\$0	\$0	\$0
SALT LAKE CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0
PORTLAND AREA			
PORLTAND	\$1,168,014	\$874,013	\$226,874
SEATTLE	\$4,660,807	\$1,751,628	\$2,924,890
TUCSON AREA			
TUCSON	\$16,281	\$14,209	\$210
TOTAL	\$16,158,294	\$9,411,316	\$6,957,710

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 17.2 ADJUSTMENTS BY TYPE

	DISALLOWANCES AND REDUCITONS (CONTRACTUAL ALLOWANCES)	SLIDING PAYMENT SCALE ADJUSTMENTS	BAD DEBT WRITE-OFF	OTHER
ABERDEEN AREA				
LINCOLN	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PIERRE	\$0	\$0	\$3,292	\$0
ALBUQUERQUE AREA				
ALBUQUERQUE	\$2,000	\$13,786	\$250	\$2,175
DENVER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BEMIDJI AREA				
CHICAGO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DETROIT	\$4,651	\$92,491	\$0	\$0
GREEN BAY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
MILWAUKEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
MINNEAPOLIS	\$327,801	\$249,060	\$480,816	\$57,533
BILLINGS AREA				
BILLINGS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,036
BUTTE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GREAT FALLS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HELENA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,093
MISSOULA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CALIFORNIA AREA				
BAKERSFIELD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FRESNO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LOS ANGELES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SACRAMENTO	\$80,275	\$67,735	\$5,611	\$0
SAN DIEGO	\$3,803	\$6,799	\$15,863	\$30,960
SAN FRANCISCO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SAN JOSE	\$67,389	\$0	\$82,877	\$59,184
SANTA BARBARA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NASHVILLE AREA				
BOSTON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW YORK CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NAVAJO AREA				
FLAGSTAFF	\$83,101	\$0	\$1,713	\$32,404
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA				
DALLAS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
WICHITA	\$78,064	\$878,498	\$82,806	\$105,954
PHOENIX AREA				
PHOENIX	\$0	\$32,625	\$47,402	\$0
RENO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SALT LAKE CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PORTLAND AREA				
PORTLAND	\$0	\$0	\$84,540	\$226,874
SEATTLE	\$442,661	\$2,363,719	\$118,510	\$0
TUCSON AREA				
TUCSON	\$210	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$1,089,955	\$3,704,713	\$923,680	\$555,213

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 17.3 ADJUSTMENTS AS A PERCENT OF FULL CHARGES

	MEDICARE	MEDICAID	TITLE XX	3RD PARTY	OTHER PATIENT FEES/ PREMIUMS	OTHER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA							
LINCOLN	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
PIERRE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ALBUQUERQUE AREA							
ALBUQUERQUE	n/a	0%	n/a	0%	53%	n/a	28%
DENVER	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
BEMIDJI AREA							
CHICAGO	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DETROIT	0%	0%	n/a	0%	0%	n/a	0%
GREEN BAY	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a	0%
MILWAUKEE	15%	2%	n/a	7%	46%	n/a	18%
MINNEAPOLIS	11%	13%	n/a	30%	105%	n/a	51%
BILLINGS AREA							
BILLINGS	0%	0%	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a	49%
BUTTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GREAT FALLS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HELENA	50%	46%	n/a	29%	19%	n/a	42%
MISSOULA	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a	0%
CALIFORNIA AREA							
BAKERSFIELD	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
FRESNO	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
LOS ANGELES	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SACRAMENTO	0%	17%	n/a	0%	32%	n/a	17%
SAN DIEGO	11%	0%	n/a	22%	80%	n/a	19%
SAN FRANSICO	47%	0%	5%	58%	38%	100%	8%
SAN JOSE	-363%	-20%	n/a	54%	44%	n/a	22%
SANTA BARBARA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NASHVILLE AREA							
BOSTON	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NEW YORK CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NAVAJO AREA							
FLAGSTAFF	47%	17%	n/a	69%	3%	n/a	31%
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA							
DALLAS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	0%
WICHITA	167%	13%	n/a	16%	67%	n/a	59%
PHOENIX AREA							
PHOENIX	114%	17%	n/a	98%	83%	n/a	30%
RENO	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SALT LAKE CITY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PORTLAND AREA							
PORLTAD	n/a	0%	n/a	0%	n/a	100%	19%
SEATTLE	51%	35%	n/a	64%	97%	n/a	63%
TUCSON AREA							
TUCSON	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	n/a	n/a	1%
TOTAL	60%	14%	5%	34%	79%	90%	43%

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM, FY 1999

TABLE 17.4 FULL CHARGES BY SOURCE

	MEDICARE	MEDICAID	TITLE XX	3RD PARTY	OTHER PREMIUMS	PATIENT FEES/	OTHER	TOTAL
ABERDEEN AREA								
LINCOLN	\$0	\$26,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,771	\$50,071	
PIERRE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
ALBUQUERQUE AREA								
ALBUQUERQUE	\$0	\$30,420	\$0	\$179	\$34,431	\$0	\$65,030	
DENVER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
BEMIDJI AREA								
CHICAGO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
DETROIT	\$1,466	\$745	\$0	\$2,862	\$2,414	\$0	\$7,487	
GREEN BAY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$161,977	\$0	\$0	\$161,977	
MILWAUKEE	\$14,117	\$49,352	\$0	\$241,175	\$124,414	\$0	\$429,058	
MINNEAPOLIS	\$111,866	\$971,597	\$0	\$211,688	\$877,029	\$0	\$2,172,180	
BILLINGS AREA								
BILLINGS	\$5,252	\$853	\$0	\$16,393	\$0	\$0	\$22,498	
BUTTE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
GREAT FALLS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
HELENA	\$18,360	\$34,011	\$0	\$14,420	\$3,617	\$0	\$70,408	
MISSOULA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,402	\$0	\$0	\$32,402	
CALIFORNIA AREA								
BAKERSFIELD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
FRESNO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,037	\$2,037	
LOS ANGELES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SACRAMENTO	\$53,719	\$259,448	\$0	\$87,663	\$173,981	\$0	\$574,811	
SAN DIEGO	\$6,621	\$184,807	\$0	\$56,237	\$55,033	\$0	\$302,698	
SAN FRANSICO	\$3,360	\$1,473,981	\$16,872	\$170,887	\$97,972	\$2,258	\$1,765,330	
SAN JOSE	\$2,776	\$387,077	\$0	\$394,547	\$186,572	\$0	\$970,972	
SANTA BARBARA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
NASHVILLE AREA								
BOSTON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
NEW YORK CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
NAVAJO AREA								
FLAGSTAFF	\$5,991	\$14,605	\$0	\$39,412	\$49,553	\$0	\$109,561	
OKLAHOMA CITY AREA								
DALLAS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,788	\$0	\$14,788	
WICHITA	\$123,497	\$527,882	\$0	\$248,385	\$2,398,418	\$0	\$3,298,182	
PHOENIX AREA								
PHOENIX	\$1,139	\$214,895	\$0	\$17,568	\$30,101	\$0	\$263,702	
RENO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SALT LAKE CITY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
PORTLAND AREA								
PORTLAND	\$0	\$898,961	\$0	\$42,179	\$0	\$226,874	\$1,168,014	
SEATTLE	\$164,650	\$2,299,427	\$0	\$288,224	\$1,908,506	\$0	\$4,660,807	
TUCSON AREA								
TUCSON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,281	\$0	\$0	\$16,281	
TOTAL	\$512,814	\$7,374,361	\$16,872	\$2,042,479	\$5,956,829	\$254,940	\$16,158,294	

URBAN INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM STATISTICS, FY 1999



Prepared for:
**Indian Health Service
Urban Indian Health Program Branch**

Prepared by:
**Information & Management Technologies, Inc.
1160 Viers Mill Road, Bldg. L15-171
Wheaton, Maryland 20902
(301) 649-6437**

June 1, 2000